# Grammatical Relations in Ts'ixa (Kalahari Khoe)

# I. Introduction

# 1. The Speech Community

- 150-200 speakers
- Mababe and Khwai only
- claims of ties with Shua of Pandamatenga and Danisi of Gweta, but social interaction with Khwe of Khwai only (present)
- language is used as an everyday language by most adults in Mababe, and as working language in the surrounding hunting camps
- most children attending school only have a limited command of the language

# 2. Genealogical Classification (cf. Güldemann, forthc.):

Kalahari Khoe		
East	Tshwa:	Kua, Cua, Tsua, et al.
	Shua:	Cara, Deti,  Xaise, Danisi, et al.
	<u>Ts'ixa</u>	
West	Kxoe:	Khwe, ∥Ani, Buga, et al.
	G∥ana:	G∥ana, G∣ui, et al.
	Naro:	Naro, Ts'ao, et al.

# 3. Preliminaries and Research Questions

- Ts'ixa has intransitive and transitive verbs does it also have ditransitive verbs?
- Ts'ixa, like other Khoe languages displays accusative alignment
- Case-sensitive Person-Gender-Number (PGN) markers, postpositions, word-order and the accusative marker ?à are all properties that help identifying grammatical relations in Ts'ixa
- The valency of a verb may be in- or decreased by adding derivational suffixes to the verbstem
- All participants, core and oblique, may be head of a relative clause

Further questions:

- Does Ts'ixa display properties unusual for Kalahari Khoe languages?
- Can a better understanding of grammatical relations in Ts'ixa help assessing the languages's genealogical relationship with its neighbours Shua and Kxoe?

# II. Participant marking

## 1. Nominal gender marking in Ts'ixa

- portmanteau-morphemes encoding person, gender and number (PGN)
- form a paradigm with the language's personal pronouns (cf. table 1, PGN-markers in brackets; on the grammaticalization of articles from demonstratives and/or personal pronouns, see Himmelmann 1997, and Heine & Kilian-Hatz 1997 for a discussion on Khwe)
- Ts'ixa only has PGN-markers for the 3<sup>rd</sup> person (table 2; some Khoe-languages like Khwe sometimes mark nouns for other persons as well, cf., e.g., Khwe /ũa-rà 'I, the child' (Kilian-Hatz 2008: 40))
- 2 paradigms (glossed as 'I' and 'II') marking nouns for different syntactic roles (see table 3)
- specific articles, marking nouns which are "identifiable in principle" (Himmelmann 1997: 103); generics, temporal adverbials followed by the locative/temporal postposition *ka*, place names and some NPs modified by a numeral remain unmarked
- clitics (attach to the last constituent of the NP they mark, see ex.1)

[góè=dz	à	kô	k'oó] =∥ú	kô	àà	nò
cattle=PL	.F:II	IPFV	eat.meat = PL.M:I	IPFV	come	SUB
?é.sì	kô	nyú	т́.			
3sg.f.i	IPFV	whi	istle			
'When the	e cattl	e-eate	rs came, she whistl	ed.'		
	cattle = PL ?é.sì 3SG.F.I	cattle = PL.F:II 2é.sì kô 3sG.F.I IPFV	cattle = PL.F:II IPFV 2é.sì kô nyứ 3SG.F.I IPFV whi	2é.sìkônyúm.3SG.F.IIPFVwhistle	cattle = PL.F:II IPFV eat.meat = PL.M:I IPFV ?é.sì kô nyúń.	cattle = PL.F:II IPFV eat.meat = PL.M:I IPFV come 2 $\acute{e.sl}$ $k\hat{o}$ $ny\acute{u}\acute{m}$ . 3sG.F.I IPFV whistle

#### Table 1: Personal pronouns and PGN-markers of Ts'ixa

			Ι	II
SG	Ι	m	tí	
		f	tí	
	II	m	tsá	
		f	sá	
	III	m	?é.ṁ (=m)	?é.mà (=mà)
		f	?é.sì (=m)	?é.sà (=sà)
		n		
DU	Ι	m	tsúŕn	
		f	súm	
		с	khúń	
	II	m	tsórò	
		f	sórò	
		с	khórò	
	III	m	?é.tsérà (=tsérà)	
		f	?é.sérà (=sérà)	
		с	?é.khórà (=khórà)	
PL	Ι	m	<i>∥é</i>	
		f	sé	
		с	tsé	

II	m		<i>∥</i> ó	
		f	só	
		с	tó	
	III	m	?é.∥ù (=∥u)	?é.∥ùà (=∥ùà)
		f	?é.dzì (=dzi)	?é.dzà (=dzà)
		с	?é.ǹ (=n)	?é.nà (=nà)

**Table 2:** The PGN-markers of Ts'ixa, attached to the noun  $|\hat{u}\hat{a}$  'child'

	М	М		F		С	
	Ι	II	Ι	II	Ι	II	
SG	ấấ=m̀	/ấấ=mà	/ấấ = sì	/ấấ=sà			
DU	/ấấ=tsérà		/ấấ=sérà		ấấ=khórà		
PL	<i> ấấ=∥</i> ù	<i> ấấ=∥</i> ùà	$/ \hat{u} \hat{a} = dz i$	ấấ=dzà	ấấ= <i>ì</i>	ấấ=nà	

Table 3: Functional distribution of paradigms 'I' and 'II'

	Ι	II
Clause-	• S/A (ex. 2.a-b)	• O (ex. 2.b)
level		• appositions (e.g. the right dislocated
		subject in (2.c)
		• predicate nouns (ex. (2.d)
NP-level	<ul> <li>nouns headed by a postposition</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>possessor in possessive constructions</li> </ul>	
	• agreeing modifiers (adjectives, demonstratives)	n.a.
	preceding their heads	

S

2.a khoe = m  $k\hat{o}$   $ts'\hat{u}$ . person = SG.M:I IPFV limp 'The man limps.'

A

- 2.b khoe = m  $k\hat{o}$   $kyx \acute{o}a k'ox \acute{u} = m \grave{a}$   $?\grave{a}$   $k'o\acute{o}.$ person = SG.M:I IPFV elephant-meat = SG.M:II ACC eat.meat 'The man eats elephant meat.'
- 2.c  $tsx\hat{a}\hat{a}$   $n/g\hat{e}$  **biyeé** $-/\hat{u}\hat{a}$  **k** $\hat{a}$   $/\hat{u}\hat{u} = s\hat{a}$ . become.tired SEQ zebra-child MP one = SG.F:II '[It] got tired, one of the zebra young.'

0

2.d  $2\acute{e.si}$  **khoe** =  $s\grave{a}$   $2\acute{e.}$ 3SG.F:I person = SG.F:II COP 'She is a woman.'

## 2. Marking of oblique participants

Preliminary: Following Dixon's (1997) Basic Linguistic Theory, I will treat all participants other than S and O as oblique. In Ts'ixa, this includes all participants headed by a postposition; they may be obligatory, like the comitative argument in ex.4.b-d, or optional, like the temporal adverbials in ex.3.e & ex.5.d. Note that the accusative marker *?à* (see §IV) is not a postposition, as it does not act as head of the NP. Hence, it does not trigger marking by a PGN of series 'I' on the preceding noun.

### *ka* - Multipurpose ('MP')

- tonally dependent on the preceding noun
- also marks relations within the NP, like the possessor of possessive constructions and the head of relative-like constructions
- T of ditransitive clauses:
- 3.a tsá ?à tsé gérè khaà ?yấú kâ.
  2SG.M ACC 1PL.C FUT give food MP 'We will give you food.'

Instrument/Material

- 3.b khoe = n k'oxú ká k'úi-nà-hà k'áo = dzi ka guni-nà-hà. person = PL.C:I meat MP live-J-PFV.PST3 arrow = PL.F:I MP hunt-J-PFV.PST3 'The people lived on meat and hunted with arrows.'
- 3.c thìà  $\hat{l}k\hat{a} \quad k'\hat{a}\phi = \hat{m}$  /**2ú**ì kà  $h\tilde{t}\hat{l}-\hat{e}-h\hat{a}$ . S.SBJ REF arrow = SG.M:I stone MP do-PASS-PFV.PST3 'That arrow was made from stone.'

#### • Agent of emphatic reflexives ('by myself', etc.)

- 3.d  $||x\dot{a} = \dot{m}$  ? $\dot{a}$  ? $\dot{e}.dz\dot{i}$  n $\dot{e}$  ky' $\dot{a}\dot{a}.x\dot{u}$ -si n $\dot{a} = dz\dot{i}$  k $\dot{a}$ . morning = SG.M:I LOC 3PL.F:I SEQ take.out-REFL DEM.REF = PL.F:I MP 'In the morning, the cows went out by themselves [were taken out by themselves].'
- Temporal adverbials
- 3.e. **thúú kâ** tsé  $k\hat{o}$   $\eta \acute{o} = m$   $2\grave{a}$   $\grave{a}\grave{a}$   $n\grave{o}$  [...] past MP 1PL.C:I IPFV place = SG.M:I LOC come SUB 'In the past, when we used to come to the place [...].'

#### *xòà* - Comitative ('COM')

4.a  $k'aro = ||\hat{u} \quad n\hat{e} \quad k\hat{u}\hat{u} \quad g\hat{o}\hat{e} = dz\hat{i} \quad |x\hat{o}\hat{a}.$ boy = PL.M:I SEQ go cattle = SG.F:I COM 'The boys went out with the cattle.'

- obligatory after the reciprocal stems #?áarkù 'fight', #'áé-kù 'meet', and xarkù 'have sex':
- 4.b thòò ∥ũũ-xà = dzì n/gè ∥2ấầ-kù 2é.sì /xòà.
  D.SBJ parent-ASSOC-PL.F:I SEQ fight-RCPR 3PL.F:I COM
  'The mothers (and their associates) fought with it.'
- 4.c thà |úú tshéè síí-à biyeé = dzì |xòà ||'áé-kù.
  S.SBJ one day arrive-J zebra = PL.F:I COM meet-RCPR
  'One day [the hyena] came to meet zebras.'
- 4.d 2é.m kâ g∥àakhoe=si kô tấã=∥ù /xòà xãí-kù.
  3sg.m:i mp wife=SG.F:I IPFV other=PL.M:I COM have.sex-RCPR
  'His wife is cheating on him (sleeping with others).'
- sometimes the reciprocal-suffix -kù is added to the verbstem if the speaker wishes to attach an additional argument with /xòà

## *?à* - Generic Locative/Proximal ('LOC')

- default locative
- 5.a  $/2\hat{u}\hat{a} = dz\hat{a}$   $2\hat{i}y\hat{e}$   $2\hat{e}.s\hat{i}$   $k\hat{a}$  **khoó** = **m**  $2\hat{a}$   $nyáá.x\hat{u}$ . bone = PL.F.II all 3SG.F.I MP skin = SG.M.I LOC put 'Put all the bones on her skin!'
- may mark proximal to contrast with the ablative/distal ŋkùà
- 5.b  $t\hat{t}$   $k\hat{a}$   $/\hat{u}\hat{a} = \hat{m}$  **Mabábé 2à** haànà. 1SG MP child = SG.M:I GN LOC EXIST 'My son is at Mababe.' (when speaker is at M. himself)
- 5.c  $t\hat{t}$   $k\hat{a}$   $/\hat{u}\hat{a} = \hat{m}$  **Mabábé ŋkùà** haànà. 1SG MP child = SG.M:I GN LOC EXIST 'My son is at Mababe.' (when speaker is elsewhere)
- temporal adverbials
- 5.d  $t\acute{u}\acute{u} = \acute{m}$   $t\acute{u}\acute{u}-t\grave{a}\grave{a}$  sáó 2à. rain = SG.M:I rain-IPFV.NEG winter LOC 'It does not rain in winter.'

## nkùà - Ablative/Distal ('LOC')

- derives from an adverbial meaning 'there' (\*DEM  $\eta$  + LOC  $k\dot{u}\dot{a}$ )
- Ablative:
- 6.a  $k' \dot{o} s \dot{o}$  khoe = n  $k' u \dot{u} n \dot{a} h \dot{a}$   $2 \dot{e} \cdot \dot{m}$ ,  $\|2 or \dot{a} = \dot{m}$   $\eta k \dot{u} \dot{a}$ . but person = PL.C:I speak-J-PFV.PST3 3SG.M:I big = SG.M:I LOC 'But the people spoke from him, the big one (talking about a hill).'

- Distal (in contrast with ?à, see (5)b-c above):
- 6.b *llóbé tshéè lú.xùà lám tshéè kare kô zeè káó-ò=m ŋkùà haànà=sè.*three day sometimes two day make.biltong IPFV spend.time camp=SG.M:I LOC EXIST=ADV 'Three, sometimes two days [they] spent out in the camp, making biltong.'

#### *?ò* - Directive/Dative ('DIR')

7.a  $th\dot{a}$   $n\dot{e}$   $g||\dot{a}\dot{i}\cdot k\dot{u}$   $||2\dot{a}\dot{e}=\dot{m}$  2 $\dot{o}$ . S.SBJ SEQ run-RCPR home = SG.M:I DIR '[They] ran home together.'

• sometimes found contrasting with *|xè* (distal reading)

- 7.b tí kò nguú = m /xè  $k\tilde{u}$ . 1SG:I IPFV house = SG.M:I toward go 'I walk towards the (visible) house.'
- 7.c ti kò ngui = m ?ò kiu. 1SG:I IPFV house = SG.M:I DIR go 'I walk to the house.'
- Dative with the verbs khaà 'give' and xaroò 'give food'
- 7.d tí gérè sá ?ò khaà tsóò.
  1SG FUT 2SG.F DIR give medicine 'I will give medicine to you.'

#### *|xè* - Directive/Dative

- sometimes found contrasting with 2ò (proximal reading, see ex.7.b-c above)
- also found meaning 'on' and 'near/next to'
- 8.a g/ini = si tè xalasi = m /xe //dbu-a ngee. fly = SG.F:I PRF glass = SG.M:I next.to fly-J pass 'The fly flew past the glass.' (lit. passed next to the glass in a flying manner)

8.b /ám mékòrò tí mũũ-a-tà tshaá=m ||xórò /xè.
two canoe 1SG:I see-J-PFV.PST1 water=SG.M:I side next.to
"I saw two canoes next to the river."

#### • marks human referents for general affectedness

- 8.c  $n\hat{t} = \hat{m}$   $\tilde{u}$ -si-nà-tà  $Pit\dot{a} = \hat{m}$   $|x\dot{e}$ ? what = SG.M:I do-REFL-J-PFV.PST1 PN = SG.M:I to 'What happened to Peter?'
- 8.d  $g \| \delta e = s i$  f u u k u e  $\| 2 \sigma r a = s i$  | x e. tortoise = SG.F:I angry IPFV big = SG.F:I at "He is angry at the big one."

# III. Word order

- highly flexible (cf. table 4), pragmatically determined
- focus-slot before the subject (may be occupied by any participant)
- elements known within the discourse tend to be placed after the verb and may be dropped completely

Table 4: Word order in 4 Ts'ixa texts

	Ts'ixa (203 sentences)
SOV	98
OSV	25
SVO	80

# 1. Core Participants

Table 5: Pragmatic implications of word order in Ts'ixa

SOV	unmarked or S-focus
OSV	O-focus
SVO	known/topical O

# SOV: unmarked and subject focus

- 9.a  $n\tilde{t} = \tilde{m}$   $h\tilde{\iota}$ -sì-nà-tà? what = sG.M:1 do-REFL-J-PFV.PST1 'What happened?'
- 9.b maá [Joseph] = mà ?à ∥'áṁ-nà-tà? who PN = SG.M:II ACC hit-J-PFV.PST1 'Who hit Joseph?'
- 9.c [Maxwell] = mì [Joseph] = mà ?à ||'áḿ-nà-tà. PN = SG.M:I PN = SG.M:II ACC hit-J-PFV.PST1 'MAXWELL hit Joseph." or "Maxwell hit Joseph.'

## SVO: known / (potentially) topical objects

10.a	nấ=m	hĩĩ-sì-nà-tà	[Peter] = m̀	xè?
	what=SG.M:I	do-refl-j-pfv.pst1	PN = SG.M:I	to
	'What happene	d to Peter?'		

10.b [Mary] = si  $\|'\acute{a}\acute{m}\cdot n\acute{a}\cdot t\acute{a}$   $?\acute{e}\cdot m\acute{a}$  ? $\acute{a}$   $!?\ddot{a}\acute{a} = si$  ? $\acute{a}$ . PN = SG.F:I hit-J-PFV.PST1 3SG.M:II ACC face = SG.F:I LOC 'Mary hit him in the face.'

#### **OSV: object focus**

- 11.a  $n\hat{t} = m\hat{a}$  ? $\hat{a}$  ? $\hat{e}.\hat{n}$  gérè aákà? WHAT = SG.M:II ACC 3PL.C:I FUT bring 'What are they going to bring?'
- 11.b kyxóa-k'ōxú 2é.ń gérè aá.kà. elephant-meat 3PL.C:I FUT bring 'They will bring ELEPHANT MEAT.'

## 2. Oblique participants

- unmarked position: SXV as in ex.12 in answer to an unrestricted Wh-question, in particular if the oblique participant is an obligatory argument, not an adjunct (however, XSV and SVX occur as well in unmarked contexts, see below)
- 12.a  $n\tilde{t} = \tilde{m}$   $h\tilde{t}\tilde{t}-s\tilde{t}-n\tilde{a}-t\tilde{a}$ ? what = SG.M:1 do-REFL-J-PFV.PST1 'What happened?'
- 12.b  $[Maxwell] = \acute{m}$   $[Joseph] = \acute{m}$  |xòà [Khwai]  $\eta kùà$  PN = SG.M:I PN = SG.M:I COM GN LOC  $||'á\acute{e}-kù-nà-tà.$ meet-RCPR-J-PFV.PST1 'Maxwell met Joseph at Khwai.'
- adverbials of location and time tend to be placed clause-initially or clause-finally
- 13.a [Mababe] ?ò ?é.m` kô kấu.
  GN DIR 3SG.M IPFV go
  'He goes to Mababe.'
- 13.b 2é.m kúū̀-à-?ò [Khwai] ?ò.
  3SG.M:I go-J-PFV.PST2 GN DIR
  'He went to Khwai.'
- 13.c [Sunday] kâ ?é.m stî-tè-nà-hà.
  Sunday MP 3sg.M:I work-ITER-J-PFV.PST3
  'He often worked on Sunday.'
- 13.d *tsé ky'óá-hà tshéè ?îyè*. 1PL.C go.out:J-PFV.PST3 day all 'We went out every/all day.'
- if a clause occurs with both an adverbial of location and an adverbial of time, the adverbial of location tends to be placed clause-initially, while the adverbial of time is placed clause-finally (14.a); however, exceptions to this tendency are not uncommon (ex.14.b)

- 14.a [Khwai] ?ò ?é.m kū́ù-à-hà ?ú.kâ.kâ.tshéè
  GN DIR 3SG.M:I go-J-PFV.PST3 yesterday
  'Yesterday he went to Khwai.'
- 14.b 2é.m kúù-à-hà [Khwai] 2ò 2ú.kâ.kâ.tshéè
  3SG.M:I go-J-PFV.PST3 GN DIR yesterday
  'Yesterday he went to Khwai.'
  - oblique participants may be focused:
- 15.a *maá* /*xòà* sà //*`áé-kù-nà-tà* [Khwai] ŋkùà? who COM 2SG.F meet-RCPR-J-PFV.PST1 GN LOC 'Whom did you meet at Khwai?'
- 15.b  $[Joseph] = \acute{m}$   $|x \grave{o} \grave{a}$  tí  $||' \acute{a} \acute{e} \cdot k \grave{u} \cdot n \grave{a} \cdot t \grave{a}$ . PN = SG.M:I COM 1SG meet-RCPR-J-PFV.PST1 'I met JOSEPH.'
- 15.c Maáxùà sá ?é.m /xòà ∥'áé-kù-nà-tà?
  where 2SG.F:I 3SG.M:I COM meet-RCPR-J-PFV.PST1
  'Where did you meet him?'
- 15.d [Khwai] ŋkùà tí 2é.m |xòà ∥'áé-kù-nà-tà.
  GN LOC 1SG 3SG.M:I COM meet-RCPR-J-PFV.PST1
  'I met him AT KHWAI.'
- The unmarked position of oblique participants (other than adverbials of location and time) in transitive clauses is hard to determine, as it varies even in elicitation contexts making use of unrestricted Wh-questions. The same accounts for the arguments of semantically ditransitive predicates (ex.16)

16.a  $n\tilde{t} = ma$ hĩĩ̀-à-tà? ?à tsá what = SG.M:II 2SG.M do-j-pfv.pst1 ACC 'What did you do?' Recipient (=0)Theme (= OBL) S v 16.b *Polítíkí* = mà khaà-nà-tà. ?à |?áò kâ tí PN = SG.M:IIACC give-J-PFV.PST1 money MP 1sg 'I gave money to Politics.' Recipient (=OBL) S Theme (=0) V 16.c tí kâ  $tax\hat{u} = s\hat{i}$ ?ò tí /?áò ?à khaà-nà-tà. 1sg MP sibling.e = SG.F:I LOC 1sg money ACC give-J-PFV.PST1 'I gave money to my elder sister.'

(Situation: You went to town and come back with a dress for your sister, which you are now showing to me.)

Theme (= OBL)S Recipient (=0)16.d  $ku\dot{u} = \dot{m}$ tí kâ kűík'èè = sà ?à tí  $me\acute{e} = m$ kâ dress = SG.M:I DEM.DIST = SG.M:I MP 1SG POSS sister = SG.F:II ACC 1sg kyấũ-a-ma-nà-tà. buy-j-ben-j-pfv.pst1 'I bought that dress for my sister.'

In 14 instances of the sentence 'I gave money to Politics' as answer to an unrestricted Whquestion, recorded with 4 speakers, 9 displayed the word order **Recipient-Theme-Subject-Verb**, no matter whether Recipient, Theme or both were encoded as oblique.

# IV. The accusative marker 2à

• Obligatory for pronominal and PGN-marked objects in SOV and SVO; in SOV, the glottal stop is sometimes omitted, but the accusative marker is clearly perceivable in the lengthening of the final vowel -à of the PGN-marker

17.a	[Blesswell] = m̀ PN = SG.M:I 'Is Blesswell rea	kô <sub>IPFV</sub> ding the	<i>bukà = sà</i> book = sg.F:II book?'	(?)à ACC	<i>bálà</i> = rè? read = Q			
17.b	[Mary] = sì PN = SG.F:I 'Mary read the l		ì-tà bukà= PFV.PST1 book=		?à. ACC			
• (	Optional in OSV	$r \rightarrow con$	trastive readin	g				
18.a	maá ?à who ACC 'Whom did you	<i>tsá</i> 2sg.м beat?'	∥'áń-nà-tà? beat-J-PFV.PST1					
18.b	k'aro = mà boy = SG.M:II 'I beat the boy.'	tí 1sg	∥'áń-nà-tà? beat-J-PFV.PST1					
18.c	?abá=mà dog=SG.M:II 'Did you beat th	tsá 2sg.м e dog?'	∥'áń-nà-tà? beat-J-PFV.PST1					
18.d	?ĩĩ, ?abá=mà no dog=sg.м: 'No, I did not be		∥'áṁ-nà-tà beat-J-PFV.PST1 og, I beat the bo		k'aro = mà boy = SG.M:II	?à ACC	tí 1sg	∥'áṁ-nà-tà. beat-J-PFV.PST1

- Unmarked nouns obligatorily receive ?à if they correspond to the feature [+human]
- 19.  $G|\delta\delta x\dot{a} = m$   $\eta k\dot{u}\dot{a}$   $|\dot{u}x\dot{u}\dot{a}$   $ts\dot{a}$   $k\dot{o}$  khoe  $?\dot{a}$   $k\dot{u}m$  k'u  $k\dot{o} = s\dot{e}$ . GN = SG.M:I LOC sometimes 2SG.M IPFV person ACC hear speak IPFV = ADV 'At G|oxa-Hill, you can sometimes hear people speaking.'
- otherwise, ?à-marking on [-human] referents not marked by a PGN-marker always leads to a contrastive reading ('X and not something else'):
- 20.a *!xaò kô ?abá péè.* hippo IPFV dog chase 'A hippo is chasing a dog.'
- 20.b !xaò kô ?abá ?à péè.
  hippo IPFV dog ACC chase
  'A hippo is chasing a dog (and not something else).'

→ ?à-marking relates to the topic-potential of O (compare, e.g., Iemmolo 2010, Dalrymple & Nikolaeva 2011); compare also the quite similar behaviour of the topic marker *wa* in Japanese (Kuno 1972)

# V. Valency Changing Operations

## 1. Reducing Valency

#### 1.1. The (agentless) Passive

- passive suffix  $-i \sim -e + a$  particle  $2\hat{e}$  which is obligatory with all non-perfective TAM markers
- 21.a *sexáí* /úí kâ kô /'ũű́-é ?è. spear only INST IPFV kill-PASS PASS '[It] (the steenbok) is killed with only a spear.'
- 21.b  $2\acute{e}.dz\imath$   $x\acute{u} = dzi$   $n\acute{a} = dz\imath$   $k\acute{o}$   $n/g\acute{o}\acute{a}-\acute{e}$   $2\acute{e}.$ 3PL.F:I thing = PL.F:I DEM.REF = 3PL.F:I IPFV cook-PASS PASS 'Those things are cooked.'
- 21.c ?yúm-/?érè kô !huú-í ?è nyaá-í ?è. baobab-rope IPFV flay-PASS PASS twist-PASS PASS 'Baobab-rope is flayed (from the tree) and twisted.'
- with the perfective/anterior-suffixes, the passive suffix replaces the juncture
- patient of a transitive clause appears as S
- no agent may be added (compare Kxoe, cf. Kilian-Hatz 2008: 151, and Nama, cf. Hagman 1977: 81); addition of an agent appears to be possible in other Kalahari Khoe languages, e.g. in Shua and G|ui (Hirosi Nakagawa, p.c.)

- 22.a  $[Maxwell] = \dot{m}$  kyxo $\dot{a} = m\dot{a}$  2 $\dot{a}$   $||\dot{a}\dot{o}-n\dot{a}-t\dot{a}.$ PN = SG.M:I elephant = SG.M:II ACC shoot-J-PFV.PST1 'Maxwell shot the elephant.'
- 22.b kyxoa = m ||ao-e-ta|. elephant = SG.M:I shoot-PASS-PFV.PST1 'The elephant was shot.'

\* kyxoa = m ||ao-e-ta [Maxwell] = m ka. Attempted: 'The elephant was shot by Maxwell.'

• Only the theme of the verb *khaà* 'give' may be S of a passive construction, not the recipient; more research will be needed to determine whether this holds true for other semantically ditransitive verbs as well

23.a tí ?ò  $k' o x \hat{u} = m \hat{a}$ ?à [Maxwell] =  $\hat{m}$  khaà-nà-tà. 1sg DIR meat = SG.F:II PN = SG.M:Igive-J-PFV.PST1 ACC 'Maxwell gave the meat to me.' 23.b  $k' o x \hat{u} = \hat{m}$ tí 2ò khaà-è-tà. meat = SG.M:I1sg DIR give-PASS-PFV.PST1 'The meat was given to me.' \*tí k'oxú = ḿ khaà-è-tà. kâ Attempted: 'I was given the meat'

- Sometimes used with intransitive motion verbs (compare Khwe, cf. Kilian-Hatz 2008: 151-2)
- 24. [Maun] ?ò kô kấu-è ?è dzirí.tshaú ká.
  GN DIR IPFV go-PASS PASS Friday MP
  'One may go to Maun on Friday.'
- reconstructed as \*-he for Proto-Khoe and Proto-Khoekhoe, \*-e for Proto-Kalahari Khoe (cf. Vossen 1997: 360)

## 1.2 The Reflexive/Anticausative

- Reflexive: agent and patient are not differentiated
- 25.a *tí kô mũù-sí.* 1sg:1 IPFV see-REFL 'I see myself (in the mirror).
- 25.b  $/\tilde{u}\tilde{a} = \tilde{m}$  sámbà-sí-nà-tà. child = SG.M:I wash-REFL-J-PFV.PST1 'The boy washed himself.'

- Anticausative: S corresponds to O of the underlying transitive, A is neither stated nor implied
- 26.a  $n\tilde{t} = m\dot{a}$   $ts\dot{a}$   $k\hat{o}$   $h\tilde{t}\hat{t}?$ what = SG.M:II 2SG.M IPFV do 'What are you doing?'
- 26.b  $n\tilde{t} = \tilde{m}$   $k\hat{o}$   $h\tilde{t}\cdot\hat{s}\hat{s}\hat{s}\hat{s}\hat{t}$ what = SG.M:I IPFV do-REFL 'What is happening?'
- developing into a passive marker with inanimate nouns; it is not entirely clear to which extend this construction differs from "ordinary" passives with  $-i \sim -e (+2\hat{e})$
- 27.a  $s\tilde{t}=\tilde{m}$   $h\tilde{t}-s\tilde{t}-n\tilde{a}-t\tilde{a}$  (?è.) work = SG.M:I do-REFL-J-PFV.PST1 (PASS) 'The work was done.'
- 27.b  $s\tilde{t}=\tilde{m}$   $h\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}a$  (?è.) work = SG.M:I do-PASS-PFV.PST1 (PASS) 'The work was done.'
- in Khwe, passives formed with the reflexive marker *-can* allow for the addition of an agent; this is not the case in Ts'ixa

# 2. Increasing Valency

# 2.1. Causative

- three productive causative suffixes: -kà, -káxù and -xù
- causatives of intransitive verbs:
- 28.a  $s\tilde{u} = m$  khudí-ná-tá. work = sG.M:1 end-J-PFV.PST1 'The work is finished.' 28.b tí  $s\tilde{u} = ma$  2a khu
- 28.b tí síí = mà ?à khudi-káxù-nà-tà.
  1SG work = SG.M:II ACC end-CAUS-J-PFV.PST1
  'I finished the work.'
- 28.c  $/2e\dot{e} = s\dot{i}$   $k\hat{o}$   $d\dot{a}\dot{o}$ fire = SG.F:I IPFV burn 'The fire is burning.'
- 28.d ti kô /'uri = ma ?a dao-xu. 15G IPFV litter = SG.M:II ACC burn-CAUS 'I am burning the litter.'

- Causatives of transitive verbs:
- 29.a *khoe* = *n kô ts'íxà-dam ?ãấ.* person = PL.C:I IPFV T.-tongue get.to.know 'The people learn Ts'ixa.'

(causee is omitted, original O retains its status)

29.b ti kô ts'íxà-dam ?ãấ-kà.
1SG IPFV T.-tongue get.to.know-CAUS
'I teach Ts'ixa.'

(causee acts as O, original O is added in a complement clause)

?ãấ́-káxù 29.c tí kô Djéménì ?ò kấữ tí nò gérè khoe = na?à 1SG IPFV Germany LOC go get.to.know-CAUS FUT person = PL.C:II ACC SUB 1sg kâ maá.thà ti k'ui = sikô k'ııì-è tà. how 1sg POSS speak = SG.F:I IPFV speak-PASS COMP 'When I go to Germany I will teach people how to speak my language.'

 $\rightarrow$  More research will be needed to determine whether the causative of a transitive verb may result in a double object construction in which causee and original O are treated the same

- reduplication is a productive strategy to derive causatives
- 30.a xalási = si /'óè-hà. glass = SG.F:I become.full:J-PFV.PST3. 'The glass is full.'
- 30.b  $t\hat{i}$   $k\hat{o}$   $xal\hat{a}s\hat{i}=s\hat{a}$   $2\hat{a}$   $|\hat{o}\hat{e},|\hat{o}\hat{e}$ 1SG IPFV glass = SG.F:II ACC become.full:CAUS 'I fill the glass.'

# 2.2. Benefactive: introducing a beneficiary

- the suffix *-ma* derives from a verb \*-ma 'to give' (cf. Vossen 1997: 351), which is still productive in some Kalahari Khoe languages (e.g., Tshwao, G|ui). The source for this derivation is a multiverb construction with *-ma* as V2; it is therefore linked to the verbstem by the juncture morpheme
- the beneficiary is treated as O, the theme is encoded as oblique by the multipurpose postposition *ka*
- 31. ?é.mà ?à tí gyírà-nà-ta ?e.m̀ tí ?à k'oxú ká ∔ấũ-a-ma tà. 3SG.M:II ACC 1sg ask-j-pfv.pst1 3sg.m:i 1sg acc buy-j-ben COMP meat INST 'I asked him to buy meat for me.'

# VI. Relative

- the multi-purpose postposition ka also acts as relative marker
- it follows the head of the relative clause which may not receive a PGN-marker
- the PGN is placed at the end of the relative clause

32.a tsóòkhóè kô  $ny\tilde{u}\tilde{u}=m$ ?Òmékà ŋkùà kâ Khwáì ?à healer GN stay = SG.M:I GN LOC MP LOC IPFV ky'óá. kô come.from IPFV 'The healer who lives at Khwai comes from Omega.'  $2\tilde{a}$ -sí-nà-hà = m ťîî 32.b kúú ká sá ?è. dress MP 2SG.F dress-REFL-J-PFV.PST3 = SG.M:II beautiful COP 'The dress you wear is beautiful.' the head of the relative clause may be postposed ٠ 33. mĩĩ-tầầ  $aq\acute{a}m = s\acute{t}$ hĩĩ = sà  $g \parallel \acute{o}\acute{e} = sì$ kô ?à xúù kà. do = SG.F:IItortoise = SG.F:I see-IPFV.NEG toad = SG.F:I IPFV thing ACC MP 'The tortoise does not see the thing the toad is doing.' relative clauses without ka are possible if the relative clause is headed by its S or O mũữ̀-rè 34.a sá tè  $x \acute{a} \acute{m} = dz i$  $me\acute{e} = dzi$  $d\dot{a}\dot{o} = m\dot{a}$ ?à tè 2SG.F:I see-O lion = PL.F:I DEM.DIST = PL.F:I way = SG.M:II PRF PRF ACC  $p\acute{e}r\acute{e}-?\acute{o}=dz\acute{a}$ ?à. jump-loc = pl.F:II ACC 'Did you see that lion which crossed the way?' mũữ̀-rè 34.b sá tè khoe = mà?à tí  $\parallel$ 'áḿ-à-?ò = mà ?à 2SG.F:I PRF see-Q  $man = SG.M:II \quad ACC$ 1sg hit-J-PFV.PST2 = SG.M:II ACC 'Did you see the man whom I hit?' oblique participants may act as head of a relative clause; they are referenced in the relative clause by the referential pronoun  $\hat{\pi}$ 35. aákà ?ѓ tóbóló kâ kâ tí gérè  $\|?\acute{a}\acute{o} = s\grave{a}$ ?à  $x \acute{a} \acute{m} = s \grave{a}$ bring 1sg FUT shoot = SG.F.IIACC lion = SG.F:IIgun MP REF MP

• all participants of a semantically ditransitive clause may act as head of a relative clause; recipient and theme may both be treated like O, but not at the same time, meaning either recipient or theme will be treated as oblique and be referenced accordingly

?à.

ACC

36.a  $/2\dot{a}\dot{b}$  kà  $Pol\acute{t}t\acute{k}t = \acute{m}$  2 $\dot{o}$  tí khaà-nà-tà = mà money MP PN = SG.M:I DIR 1sg give-J-PFV.PST1 = SG.M:II 'The money which I gave to Politics.'

'Bring the gun with which I will shoot the lion.'

36.b *khoe*  $k\hat{a}$  /2 $\hat{a}\hat{o}=\hat{m}$   $k\hat{a}$   $t\hat{i}$  *khaà-nà-tà* =  $m\hat{a}$ money MP money = SG.M:I MP 1SG give-J-PFV.PST1 = SG.M:II 'The man whom I gave the money to.'

- 36.c *khoe kâ tí |2\dot{a}\dot{o} = m\dot{a} 2à 2\dot{t} 2ò <i>khaà-nà-tà = mà* man MP 1SG money = SG.M:II ACC REF DIR give-J-PFV-PST1 = SG.M:II 'The man whom I gave the money to.'
- The beneficiary of a benefactive construction is treated like a normal O:
- 37. tsá ?aná-hà-rè ?é.sì kâ k'áàkhó $\dot{e} = m$ à ?é.sì ?à kô know:j-pfv.pst3-q 3sg.f:i husband = SG.M:II 2SG.M MP ACC 3SG.F:I IPFV  $2v\tilde{u}\tilde{u}=si$ kà  $n|g\dot{o}a-\phi-m\dot{a}=m\dot{a}$ ?à. food = SG.F:I MPcook-J-BEN = SG.M.II ACC 'Do you know her husband whom she is cooking the food for?'
- if the relative clause is headed by the theme, a benefactive construction with *-ma* is no longer possible, and the beneficiary has to be introduced by the dative postposition */xè*:

38. tsá ?aná-hà-rè ?γΰΰ kâ ?é.sì kâ k'áakhóe = m?é.sì kô |xè know:J-PFV.PST3-Q food 2SG.M MP 3SG.F:I MP husband = SG.M:I DAT 3SG.F:I IPFV  $n/g\dot{o}\dot{a} = s\dot{a}$ ?à. cook = SG.F.IIACC 'Do you know the food she is cooking for her husband?'

# **VII. Summary**

Table 6: PGN-marked ([+specific]) core participants in Ts'ixa (accusative alignment)

	PGN	Postposition	ACC ?à
S	ʻI'	-	-
Α	ʻI'	-	-
0	ʻII'	-	obligatory in SOV and SVO; optional in OSV

 $\rightarrow$  word order only acts as identifier for grammatical relations with non-PGN-marked ([-specific]) nouns; if none of them is marked by the accusative-topic marker  $2\dot{a}$ , we are dealing with neutral alignment, i.e., S, A and O are treated the same e.g., ex.20.a

**Table 7:** Possible marking for participants of semantically ditransitive verbs (secundative or indirective alignment)

	PGN	Postposition	ACC ?à
S	ʻI'	-	-
А	ʻI'	-	-
0	ʻII'	-	obligatory in SOV and SVO; optional in OSV
Recipient/Beneficiary	ʻI'	?ò 'dir'	-
	ʻII'	-	obligatory in SOV and SVO; optional in OSV
Theme	ʻI'	ka 'MP'	-
	'II'	-	obligatory in SOV and SVO; optional in OSV

Note that Recipient/Beneficiary and Theme cannot simultaneously be treated like O; hence, double object constructions are not possible (they feature in the data of one speaker, but were rejected by all others)

#### So does Ts'ixa have ditransitive verbs?

- **Kittilä (2006):** ditransitives are verbs with two arguments coded like the patient of a monotransitive verb (O)
- **Malchukov et al. (2010):** a ditransitive construction has an agent (A), a recipient argument (R) and a theme argument (T) all should be part of the valency frame of the verb
- König & Heine (2010): there are no ditransitives in Ju (Kx'a), as a verb of this language can have no more than two arguments; additional participants no matter whether R or T are treated as adjuncts

 $\rightarrow$  I suggest that Ts'ixa aligns with Ju in having **no real ditransitives**. Either R or T have to be encoded as oblique, whereas - despite of obvious preferences, especially with the verb *khaà* - it is left to the speaker whether he wants to encode R or T as oblique (ex.16.b-c)

# VIII. Grammatical relations in Ts'ixa from a genealogical and areal perspective

# 1. PGN-marking

- the PGN-system has been reconstructed for proto-Khoe by Vossen (1997: 349)
- he considers the paradigm ending in *-a* (paradigm 'II', the accusative paradigm in Ts'ixa) as the more basic one; this is rejected by Güldemann (2004)
- all Kalahari Khoe languages have at least two paradigms of PGN-markers, the one ending in *-a* and the one ending in *-i* or zero
- Shua, like other Eastern Kalahari Khoe languages, only marks few selected nouns (personal names) for grammatical gender; here, the two paradigms are only visible in the language's personal pronouns. Following Himmelmann (1997) and the scenario Heine & Kilian-Hatz (1997) suggest for Kxoe, the personal pronouns in Shua have not grammaticalized into articles (like in Ts'ixa and Kxoe) and eventually noun markers (like in Naro and Khoekhoe); I therefore assume, following Güldemann (2004, p.c.) that the lack of nominal gender marking in Shua is not the result of loss (as suggested by Vossen 1997)
- Shua and Kxoe both show a clear distinction between PGN-markers that mark arguments of the clause ('II') and arguments marking nominal dependents ('I'), cf. table 8
- the Kalahari Khoe language G|ui (cf. table 9) has three paradigms: nominative, accusative, and genitive (Nakagawa 1993)

Shua I	Shua II (-a)	Khwe I	Khwe II (-a)	Ts'ixa I	Ts'ixa II (-a)
<ul> <li>S in subordinate and embedded clauses</li> <li>before a postposition other than the accusative 2à</li> <li>possessor in possessive constructions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>S, O, IO in main clauses</li> <li>predicate nouns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>before a postposition</li> <li>possessor in possessive</li> <li>constructions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>S, O, IO</li> <li>predicate nouns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>S</li> <li>before a postposition other than the accusative ?à</li> <li>possessor in possessive constructions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>O</li> <li>right dislocated S</li> <li>predicate nouns</li> </ul>

Table 8: Functions of paradigms 'I' and 'II' in Shua, Khwe, and Ts'ixa

Table 9: Case-sensitive PGN-markers in G|ui (adapted from Nakagawa 1993)

		Nominative	Accusative	Genitive
SG	m	-bì	-mà	-ṁ
	f	-sì	-sà	-sì
DU	m	-tsèra	-tsèra	-tsèra
	f	-sèra	-sèra	-sèra
	с	-khòra	-khòra	-khòra
PL	m	-∥ù	-∥òa	-∥ù
	f	-zì	-zì	-zì
	c	-rì	-nà	-'n

 $\rightarrow$  Nominative and Genitive paradigm are almost identical (exeptions SG.M and DU.C)

- Functionally, Nakagawa's "genitive" paradigm corresponds to paradigm 'I' in Khwe and Shua; his "accusative" paradigm corresponds to paradigm 'II' in Ts'ixa
- Ts'ixa displays the same case-distinction as G|ui, though the functions of Nakagawa's "genitive" and "nominative" are carried out by one and the same paradigm (formally corresponding to Nakagawa's "nominative")

**Table 10:** Functional properties of PGN-marking in Ts'ixa, compared to Shua, Kxoe and G|ui (cf. Nakagawa 1993, 2013, p.c.)

	Shua	Кхое	G ui	Ts'ixa
PGN-markers as specific articles or noun markers	n	у	у	у
accusative alignment of PGN-paradigms	n	n	у	у
distinction independent - dependent nouns	у	у	у	n

Note: Formally, the paradigms of Ts'ixa correspond to Shua and G|ui, but not to Kxoe

## 2. Ditransitive verbs

- Ts'ixa has no ditransitive verbs in the strictest sense, i.e., no double object constructions are possible (cf. Kittilä 2006)
- Ts'ixa does not even appear to have ditransitive verbs in the narrower sense, as at least one participant recipient/beneficiary OR theme has to be encoded as oblique; which one is left to the speaker and displays considerable variation in my data
- Other Khoe language do have "real" ditransitives, i.e., verbs allowing for double object constructions (e.g., Khoekhoe, Hagman 1977; Kxoe, Kilian-Hatz 2008; G|ui, Nakagawa, p.c.; Shua, my data; Tshwao, Jeffrey Wills, p.c.)
- In Namibian Khwe, both recipient and theme may be marked by the object marker 2à (39.a), no referent is marked (39.b), or only the recipient is marked (ex. 39.c). There is no example in which any participant would be marked by the instrument postposition *ka* or any other postposition, such as the dative/benefactive.
- 39.a Màtìaci-mà à l'áo à tí xàró-á-tà.
  Matthew-3SG.M ACC money ACC 1SG give-J-PST2
  'I gave money to Matthew.' (Kilian-Hatz 2008: 63)
- 39.bÁ/'é-hèxà-mááta#hùáxu-i-hãnò.DEMfire-3SG.FDEM-3SG.Mthustake.away-PASS-PST1CONJ'One (of us) has taken that fire away from him.' (Kilian-Hatz 2008: 63)
- tcá 39.c Tíyò n/ĩíkà tí yà-à-tá nò, tí-è kwée xó vé! then there 1sg come-J-PST2 2SG.M 1SG-ACC refuse thing CONJ NEG 'You can't refuse this to me, when I come here.' (Kilian-Hatz 2008: 63)
- Shua appears to follow a similar pattern, whereas my data has yielded no example in which both O and IO were marked. In (40.a) and (40.b), all participants go unmarked, whereas in (40.c), the IO is marked by *?à*.

40.a	taá	?é.mà	?à	djàrà-Ø	Ø-hà	xòrè	?é.m̀	táà	k'òhú	∥?ãĩ-à-mà.
	1sg	3sg.m:II	ACC	ask.for	-J-PERF	COMP	3sg.m:i	1sg	meat	buy-j-ben
	'I asked him to buy meat for me.'									
40.b	tsám	ke	hĩĩ̀.à	tca	?yấấ	khaà.				
	1du.m	IPFV	FUT	2sg.m	food	give				
	'We will give you food.'									
40.c	aa-na		taa-?a		tshao	khaa.				
	come-0	CONJ	1sg-ac	С	hand	give				
	'Come and give me a hand.'									

- ||Ani, a dialect of Kxoe, marks the theme of a beneficiary-construction derived with the suffix *-ma* with the postposition *ka* (note that ||Ani cross-references 'my child', but not 'food' on the verb):
- 41.  $ti \quad |\dot{u} \quad |\ddot{u}\dot{a} = h\dot{\epsilon}$   $ti \quad +\tilde{u}\tilde{u}-\dot{a}-m\dot{a}-s\dot{i}-t\dot{\epsilon}$   $+2\ddot{u}\ddot{u}$   $k\dot{a}$ . 1SG POSS child = SG.F:II 1SG buy-J-BEN-SG.F-PRS food OBL 'I buy food for my child.'
- As has already been noted (cf. König & Heine 2010), ditransitive verbs are absent in the Kx'a family where either recipient/beneficiary or theme is marked with a pre-verbal oblique marker kV (42.a-b):
- 42.a Dà'ámá jàn /'àn ha bá kò màrì.
  child good give N1/2 father part money
  'The good child gave his father money.' [Ju|'hoan (Dickens 2005:40)]
- 42.b ārī ∥à'í yā g/ōò āqrī zààn kì dzòò.
  woman PROG give man OBL water
  'The woman gives the man water.' [N!aqriaxe (Berthold, p.c.)]

 $\rightarrow$  With respect to ditransitive constructions, Ts'ixa does not show the same patterns as other languages of the Khoe family, though more data from ||Ani might display some similarities. Considering the wide functional range of an apposition *ka* as both an oblique marker and a marker of dependency relations in the NP, influence from a non-Khoe language may be considered.

## References

- Dalrymple, Mary & Irina Nikolaeva. 2011. *Objects and information structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dickens, Patrick. 1997. A concise grammar of Ju/'hoan. Cologne: Köppe.
- Dixon, R.M.W. 2010-2012. Basic Linguistic Theory. 3 vols. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hagman, Roy S. 1977. Nama Hottentot grammar. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Himmelmann, Nikolaus P. 1997. Deiktikon, Artikel, Nominalphrase. Zur Emergenz syntaktischer Struktur. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Güldemann, Tom. 2004. "Reconstruction through 'de-construction': the marking of person, gender, and number in the Khoe family and Kwadi". *Diachronica* 21:2.251-306.
- Güldemann, Tom. forthc. "Introduction". *Beyond Khoisan: Historical relations in the Kalahari Basin*, ed. by Tom Güldemann & A.-M. Fehn. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Iemmolo, Giorgio. 2010. "Topicality and differential object marking: evidence from Romance and beyond". *Studies in Language*, 34:2.239-272.
- Kilian-Hatz, Christa. 2008. A grammar of modern Khwe (Central Khoisan). Cologne: Köppe.
- Kilian-Hatz, Christa & Bernd Heine 1997. *On nominal gender marking in Kxoe*. Cologne: Universität zu Köln, Institut für Afrikanistik.
- Kittilä, Seppo. 2006. "The woman showed the baby to her sister: On resolving humanness-driven ambiguity in ditransitives". *Case, valency and transitivity*, ed. by Leonid Kulikov, Andrej Malchukov & Peter de Swart , 291-308. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- König, Christa & Bernd Heine. 2010. "Are there ditransitive verbs in !Xun?" *Studies in Ditransitive Constructions: A Comparative Handbook*, ed. by Andrej Malchukov, Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Kuno, Susumu. 1972. "Functional sentence perspective: A case study from Japanese and English". *Linguistic Inquiry* 3:3.269-320.
- Malchukov, Andrej, Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie. 2010. "Ditransitive constructions: A typological overview". *Studies in Ditransitive Constructions: A Comparative Handbook,* ed. by Andrej Malchukov, Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie, 1-64. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Nakagawa, Hirosi. 1993. "A preliminary report on |Gui phonology and grammar" (in Japanese). Asia and Africa Bunpoo Kenkyuu 22: 55-92, Tokyo: ILCAA.
- Nakagawa, Hirosi. 2013. "||Gana subgroup". *The Khoesan languages*, ed. by Rainer Vossen, 64-71, 99-103, 394-401. London: Routledge.
- Vossen, Rainer. 1997. Die Khoe Sprachen. Ein Beitrag zur Sprachgeschichte Afrikas. Cologne: Köppe.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Tom Güldemann, Bernd Heine, Falko Berthold, Christfried Naumann, Hitomi Ono and Giorgio Iemmolo for providing valuable input on various subjects addressed in this talk. I further thank Hirosi Nakagawa, Falko Berthold & Jeffrey Wills for sharing their data with me. I am indebted to my Ts'ixa consultants, especially Maxwell and Tshiamo Kebuelemang, for patiently answering my numerous questions on all things Ts'ixa.

# Abbreviations

<b>IDDICVI</b> a			
Ι	gender-number series I	PN	personal name
II	gender-number series II	POSS	possessive
1	1 <sup>st</sup> person	PROG	progressive
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> person	PST1	same day past (anterior)
3	3 <sup>rd</sup> person	PST2	recent past (anterior)
А	agent	PST3	remote/general past (anterior)
ACC	accusative	PL	plural
ADV	adverbial	Q	question
ASSOC	associative	RCPR	reciprocal
BEN	benefactive	REF	referential
С	common gender	REFL	reflexive
CAUS	causative	SBJ	subject
COM	comitative	SEQ	sequential
COMP	complementizer	SG	singular
COMPL	completive	SUB	subordination marker
CONJ	conjunction	TOP	topic
СОР	copula		
D	different		
DEM	demonstrative		
DIM	diminuitive		
DIR	directive		
DIST	distal		
DU	dual		
EMPH	emphatic		
EXIST	existential		
F	feminine		
FUT	future (posterior)		
GN	geographical name		
ID	identifcation marker		
IPFV	imperfective		
ITER	iterative		
J	juncture		
LOC	locative		
Μ	masculine		
MP	multi-purpose		
NEG	negation		
0	object		
OBL	oblique		
PASS	passive (agentless)		
PFV	perfective		
PERF	perfect		