

DOWNSTEP AND PHONOLOGICAL PHRASES IN NORTHERN KIKUYU

Siri M. Gjersøe Humboldt University of Berlin

Colloquium on Linguistics Department of African Studies 21.10.2014

OUTLINE

- Introduction
 - Basic Facts on Kikuyu
 - Downstep
 - Prosodic domains
 - Data collection
- The tonal effects of downstep
- The domain of downstep
- Polar questions
- Downstep and in-situ Focus
- (Tone and polarity focus)

• Part of <u>ongoing</u> work on MA thesis

- Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Hubert Truckenbrodt and Prof. Dr. Manfred Krifka
- Part of the data elicitation was done together with Dr. Yukiko Morimoto and financed by Project B7 Predicatecentered focus types: A sample-based typological study in African languages (SFB 632 "Information Structure")

Introduction: KIKUYU (GIKUYU, GEKOYO, GIGIKUYU)



- A Bantu language (E51)
- About 6,6 Million speakers
- Spoken in the central province of Kenya
- 5 Dialects:
 - Southern-Kikuyu,
 Northern-Kikuyu,
 Gichugu, Mathira and Ndia

(Lewis, Paul et al. 2014)

INTRODUCTION: NORTHERN KIKUYU

Northern Kikuyu (N. Kikuyu):

• Spoken in Northern Murang'a, Nyeri

Ford (1976):

- Compares N. Kikuyu with:
 - Kikamba [E.55], Embu and Mbeere [E.52], Gichuka [E.541], Mwimbi-Muthambi [E.531], Imenti, Kitharaka [E.54] and Tigania.
- N.Kikuyu Language: The only attested *dialect* with a two-tone distinction and downstep
- "The most conservative Kikuyu dialects, both tonally and segmentally, are in the northern area."
- Benson (1964) lists forms specific for N. Kikuyu that are Maasai loanwords (Eastern Nilotic language)
 - Language contact with Masaai?

INTRODUCTION: KIKUYU

Studies on:

- Syntax and Information Structure: Clements (1980, 1984a)
 Bergvall (1983, 1987), Schwarz (2003), Güldemann (1996), Morimoto (2014).
- Tone: Armstrong (1940), Clements & Ford (C & F) (1979, 1981), Clements (1984b), Philippson (1992)

However:

- Tone annotation is often absent in texts and studies on other topics than tone itself (exception: Morimoto 2014)
- No up-to date studies on tone to my knowledge

INTRODUCTION BASIC LANGUAGE FACTS

Syntax:

• SVO language

• Modifiers follow the head

Phonology and Tone:

- Surface H(igh) [á] L(ow) [à] tonal distinction
- Syllable is the Tone Bearing Unit (TBU)
 - V, CV, NCV, NCGV
 - VV can be monosyllabic [εί] or bisyllabic V.V [rìá]

INTRODUCTION BASIC LANGUAGE FACTS

Tone:

- Contour tones: falling [â] and rising [ǎ]
- A number of complex tone rules:
 - Bounded High Tone Spreading (HTS) across word boundaries
 - Non finality (=Flattening C&F 1981)
 - Rising Tone Simplification
 - Word final H tone lowering (lexical restircted)
 - Floating H and L tones
 - ...
 - **Downstep:** triggered by floating L tones

INTRODUCTION DOWNSTEP

"A lowering mechanism triggered by a specific phonological tone, typically an L" (Yip 2002:262)

Automatic downstep: (=downdrift/declination) —

- Phonologically predictable
- Is not indicated in phonetic transcription: H L H

(Non-automatic) downstep:

- Non-predictable
- Indicated by \downarrow or !
- Can lower adjacent H tones (= OCP phenomenon)
 /HH/ → [H↓H] Typical case for Bantu languages (e.g. Shambaa, Pare, Namwanga, Nyore) Nurse & Philippson (2003)
- Affects most commonly H tones but can also affect L tones
- Can be triggered by a floating L tone (Bamileke, Kikuyu) (Gussenhoven 2003)



INTRODUCTION **DOWNSTEP**

• The conditioning of downstep can be:

- Purely phonological
- Grammatical, lexical or **syntactic**

J,

• Downstep applies across word boundaries within certain *domains* of the prosodic hierarchy (= Tone Sandhi)

INTRODUCTION THE PROSODIC HIERARCHY



Nesbor & Vogel & Vogel (1986), Selkirk (1984) and many others

INTRODUCTION PROSODIC DOMAINS

Phonological-phrase ϕ :Corresponds to the syntactic phrase XP

Example: Liason in French: applies across word boundaries within a phonological phrase (a) Not across two phonological phrases (b)

a) Cette famille a (trois beaux _enfants)φ
'This family has three beautiful children' vs.
b) Les enfants (sont allés)φ à l'école
'The children went to school.' Nesbor & Vogel (1986)

12

INTRODUCTION DOWNSTEP

Downstep most commonly applies within a domain (Yip 2002)

• Downstep is interrupted and pitch resets at the start of a new domain

Downstep domains:

- The intermediate-phrase: Japanese and Basque (Pierrhumbert & Beckman 1988, Elordieta 1997)
- The intonational phrase (English, French and Dutch) (Gussenhoven 2004)

INTRODUCTION DOWNSTEP

Downstep domains in Bantu languages:

• Within the prosodic word PW: Bamileke-Dschang (Hyman 1985)

• Within phonological phrase (?):

Setswana : Downstep between H-final subject noun and H-initial transitiveVerb: H # H → [H #↓ H] (Creissels 1998)

• Within the IP: Chichewa (Kanerva 1990)

INTRODUCTION

DOWNSTEP IN NORTHERN KIKUYU

Downstep appears to be specific to N. Kikuyu

- All studies are on this dialect C & F (1981)
- Own data from Southern Kikuyu speaker did not attest downstep
- Floating L tone \rightarrow Downstep [¹]
 - Belongs to the tonal pattern of certain nouns, modifiers and the affirmative verb (exception: imperative and conditional mode)
 - C& F 1984b: The floating tones derive diacronically from Tone shift: each original tone has moved one syllable to the right:
- Kikamba: VVV Kikuyu: VV↓
- C & F (1979): The extra L tone in Kikamba [E55] corresponds to downstep in Kikuyu
- N. Kikuyu could have developped downstep through language contact with Maasai: a two-level tone language with downstep (Ford 1976)

INTRODUCTION N. KIKUYU DOWNSTEP

- Detailed and accurate work from:
 - C & F (1981)

N.Kikuyu downstep:

- (i) Interacts with other tone rules
- (ii) Is sensitive to syntactic structures
- Many rules introduced to account for (i) and (ii) in Clements & Ford (1981)

INTRODUCTION THE MANY RULES OF DOWNSTEP IN KIKUYU

Five downstep rules for a surface representation:

- KU-1 Downstep permutes to the right of the first constituent of the verb
- KU-4 Deletion of downstep between a noun and a complement
- KU-6 Downstep degemination $\downarrow \downarrow \rightarrow \downarrow$
- KU-7 Downstep displacement
- KU-12 Double downstep deletion $\downarrow \downarrow \rightarrow Ø$

Clements & Ford (1981)

17

Reproduction of data with pitch tracks + new data
 Simplification of the rules

1.00

Introduction Data collection

• Data from two native speakers of Kikuyu (female):

- A southern Kikuyu speaker (Preparatory work)
- A northern Kikuyu speaker (Main study)
- Microphone: Sennheiser ME 64 and MKH 20-P48, Beyerdynamic Opus 54.16

• Sampling rate: 44.1 kHz (16-bit)

• Context setting question for each target sentence

• Three repetitions each

TONAL EFFECTS OF DOWNSTEP

100

Tonal effects of downstep **PITCH LOWERING**

 $/...H \downarrow H(...)/$ $/...L \downarrow H(...)/:$

• The downstepped H is realized with same pitch as a L tone $/\downarrow H(...)/\rightarrow [L]$

/...L↓L(...)/

• The downstepped L tone is realized with a lower pitch than the preceeding L tone

21

Tonal effects of downstep **PITCH LOWERING**

1.11

/...H \ H(...)/



Tonal effects of downstep **PITCH LOWERING**

1.0



 $[\downarrow L]$ is 27 Hz lower than the preceeding L tone

TONAL EFFECTS OF DOWNSTEP DOWNSTEP AND HIGH TONE SPREADING

*H# \downarrow L is not attested in Kikuyu

Downstep interacts with HTS:

• \downarrow L is raised to [H] in

 $/\mathrm{H}\#\downarrow\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{L}...)\mathrm{H}/\rightarrow [\mathrm{H}\#\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}...)\downarrow\mathrm{H}]$

- The H to the right is downstepped and the intervening L tones will be raised to H
- Absence of H tone following the downstep: → all L will be raised to H:

 $/H\#\downarrow L(L...)/ \rightarrow [H \# H(H...)]$

There is no trace of the downstep other than the raising.

TONAL EFFECTS OF DOWNSTEP DOWNSTEP AND HIGH TONE SPREADING

*H# \downarrow L is not attested in Kikuyu

Referred to as *downstep displacement*

=KU-7 Downstep displacement: Downstep raises L tones to H

(C & F 1981)

- Philippson 1992: The downstep deletes the L tones and the H tone spreads to the empty TBUs
- Gussenhoven 2003: *H#↓L: The H occupies the empty syllables squeezing downstep between it and the next H or the utterence end

TONAL EFFECTS OF DOWNSTEP DOWNSTEP AND HIGH TONE SPREADING

a) Downstep with pitch lowering:

nd-ò:n-ìré βìrìβìrì [↓]rò:∫íně 1.SM-see-RC.PST chillies 11.morning 'I saw the chillies this morning'

b) Downstep + HTS

nd-ɔ̀:n-ìrɛ́ yuòkɔ́ yoàkɛ́ ↓rò:ʃǐnĕ 1.SM-see-RC.PST 15.arm 15.his 11.morning 'I saw his arm this morning'

n

e

L ↓LH.LH /H↓L H.LH/ [H H↓H.LH] [[↓]rò:ʃíně] [ró:∫ ↓íně] 280280 250-250-200 200 Pitch (Hz) 150-150-120-130-Ĥ JL LL [L]L LL Η L Η Н H [H] L L L !H L ii n β i ri β inin o: r i r e nd 00 e k n i r e uə k e r o i o y oa chillies morning I-saw his he-saw arm morning

TONAL EFFECTS OF DOWNSTEP DOWNSTEP AND HTS a) Downstep with pitch lowering:

à-h ϵ -ìr ϵ moànèkì β ìrì β ìrì 1.SM-give-RC.PST M. chillies 'He gave Mwaniki chillies'

a) Pitch lowering of /↓βìrìβìrì/
 L ↓LLLL
 [↓βìrìβìrì]



b) Downstep + HTS

à-hε-ìré kéŋàŋí βíríβírí
1.SM-give-RC.PST 7.crocodile chillies
'He gave the crocodile chillies' C&F (1981)

b) Raising of L to H tone of $/\downarrow\betairi\betairi/$ $/ H \downarrow/ LLLL$ $[\betairi\betairi]$



- > Evidence of downstep:
 - 1. Pitch lowering

10

2. Unbounded HTS

 $/\downarrow\beta$ iri β iri/ \rightarrow [β írí β írí]

DOMAIN OF DOWNSTEP

100

Domain of Kikuyu downstep

C & F (1981) show following data with downstep:

 $(S) \downarrow (V O_1) \downarrow (O_2) / V \downarrow O \downarrow /$ $(S) \downarrow (V O) \downarrow (Adv)$ (N Mod)

- KU-1 Downstep permutes to the right of the first constituent of the verb
- KU-4 Deletion of downstep between a noun and a complement
- (+ KU1, KU6, KU7, KU12 to derive a surface structure to an underlying structures

Domain of Kikuyu downstep VERB OBJECT \downarrow ADVERB

a) nd- \hat{o} :n- $\hat{i}r\hat{\epsilon}$ more $\hat{i}r\hat{o}$: $\int \hat{i}n\tilde{\epsilon}$ 1.SM-see-RC.PST 1.farmer 11.morning 'I saw the farmer this morning'

Adjective unaffected by downstep

b) nd- \hat{o} :n- $\hat{i}r\hat{\epsilon}$ morie morie morie $\downarrow r\hat{o}$: $\int \hat{i}n\tilde{\epsilon}$ 1.SM-see-RC.PST1.farmer 1.ugly11.morning 'I saw the ugly farmer this morning'



DOMAIN OF KIKUYU DOWNSTEP VERB OBJECT₁ \downarrow OBJECT₂

a. yòrèrá mòrèmì \downarrow mòyèká moèyá IMP:buy 1.farmer 3.rug 3.good 'Buy the farmer a good rug'

Adjective unaffected by downstep

mòrèmì mòritò ¹mòyèká moèyá b. yòrèrá IMP:buy 1.farmer 1.ugly 3.rug 3.good 'Buy the ugly farmer a good rug'





Domain of Kikuyu downstep $SUBJECT \stackrel{\downarrow}{\vee} VERB$

1.11

- Downstep from the subject noun affects the verb
- No downstep displacement between the subject and verb



a) ndzòyóná ↓ né moèyá
N. COP good
'Njũgũna is good'
C & F (1981)

Domain of Kikuyu downstep $SUBJECT \stackrel{\downarrow}{} VERB$

Downstepless subject noun

110

a) wàmòyó átɛ́míré mòtě W. 1.SM-cut-PST 3.tree 'W. cut a tree'

C & F (1981)

Subject noun with downstep

b) mòrèmì [↓] àtɛ́míré mòtě
1.farmer 1.SM-cut-PST 3.tree
'The farmer cut a tree'



The application of downstep is not arbitrary
Interacts with the syntax

а,

Domain of Kikuyu downstep: Section Summary

Attested downstep:

 $(S) \downarrow \quad (V O_1 Adj) \downarrow (O_2)$

 $(S) \downarrow (V O) \downarrow (Adv)$

 $[XP] [V [XP]] [XP] [V [N [AP]_{NP}]]$

- Downstep is sensitive to syntactic structures
- Surfaces at the edge of a phononogical phrase
- The right edge of a syntactic phrase triggers this p-phrase boundary in Kikuyu
 - No right edge between a head and a complement:
 (S)φ (V O₁ Adj) φ (O₂)φ
 (S)φ (V O) φ (Adv) φ
Domain of Kikuyu downstep DOWNSTEP AND ADJECTIVES

(N Adj)φ ↓ Conj N
ŋɔmbɛ ndìtò ↓ nà ìrìá
9.cattle 9.heavy and 5.milk
'A heavy cattle and milk'

(N Adj) $\varphi^{\downarrow}(Adj) \varphi^{\downarrow}(Adj) \varphi$



Domain of Kikuyu downstep DOWNSTEP ADJECTIVES

Subject

(N Poss Adj)φ V... (V
a) ŋɔmbɛ yàkɛ hɔ́rɛrì ere theínè oá ŋómbà b) ndɔ̀nìrɛ́
9.cattle 9.his 9.gentle COP inside of house 1SM.see
'His gentle cattle is inside the house' 'I saw hi





DOMAIN OF KIKUYU DOWNSTEP Downstep adjectives

Prosodic binarity at play?

• BinMax: P-phrases consists of maximally two prosodic words (Selkirk 2011, Truckenbrodt 2007)

• Speaker shows variation and more testing is necessary

DIACRONIC MOTIVATION OF KIKUYU DOWNSTEP

- Downstep is a rule that that typically applies within a domain (Yip 2002)
- » N.Kikuyu downstep is atypical
- Domain: Similar to an edge-marking tone
- Form: Typical of downstep
- C & F (1979): The extra L tone in Kikamba [E55] corresponds to downstep in Kikuyu

DIACRONIC MOTIVATION OF KIKUYU DOWNSTEP

- Kikamba: The extra L tone = a boundary tone
 - Marks the right edge of a phonological phrase (Odden, David & R. Ruth Roberts-Kohno 1999)
- N. Kikuyu Downstep: Properties of both

- Maasai: A 2-level tone language with downstep
 - Ford (1976): N.Kiuyu can have developped downstep through language contact

POLAR QUESTIONS

POLAR QUESTIONS (YES/NO QUESTIONS)

Formed by prosodic means:

- Raising the pitch
- Lowering the sentence final syllable
- Deleting the downstep

= KU-5 Downstep deletion in polar questions C&F (1981)

POLAR QUESTIONS AND DOWNSTEP

Assertive sentence: Downstep

110

a) áhɛìrɛ́ moánèkì ↓ njátá
1.SM.give.PST M. 9.star
'He gave Mwanĩki a star' /njátá/

Polar Question: No downstep

b) áheìré moánèkì njátá?Did he give Mwanĩki a star?



POLAR QUESTIONS AND DOWNSTEP

Assertive sentence: Downstep

Polar Question: No downstep

nd- \hat{o} :n- $\hat{i}r\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\beta}\hat{i}r\hat{i}\hat{\beta}\hat{i}r\hat{i}$ $\hat{r}\hat{o}$: $\int \hat{i}n\tilde{\epsilon}$ 1.SM-see-RC.PST chillies 11.morning 'I saw the chillies this morning'

nd- $\hat{\mathfrak{i}}$:n- $\hat{\mathfrak{i}}$ ré $\hat{\mathfrak{i}}$ irì $\hat{\mathfrak{i}}$ irì rò: $\hat{\mathfrak{i}}$ ně? 'Did I see the chillies this morning'



Focus

DOWNSTEP AND IN-SITU FOCUS

- In-situ adverbial focus and object focus allowed in Kikuyu (Schwarz 2003, Morimoto 2014)
- No difference attested in downstep BUT changes of verb final tone

DOWNSTEP AND IN-SITU FOCUS

Assertive Focus When did you see the chillies?

nd- $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}:n-\hat{\mathfrak{i}}r\hat{\mathfrak{e}}$ $\beta\hat{\mathfrak{i}}r\hat{\mathfrak{i}}\beta\hat{\mathfrak{i}}r\hat{\mathfrak{i}}^{\dagger}r\hat{\mathfrak{o}}:\int\hat{\mathfrak{i}}n\tilde{\mathfrak{e}}$ 1.SM-see-RC.PST chillies 11.morning 'I saw the chillies **this morning**'



Contrastive Focus: You saw the chillies yesterday.

Aca. nd- $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}$:n- $\hat{\mathfrak{ire}}$ $\hat{\mathfrak{giri}}\hat{\mathfrak{giri}}$ $\hat{\mathfrak{ro}}$: $\hat{\mathfrak{fine}}$ No, I saw the chillies **this morning**_{Contr.F}



POLARITY FOCUS

Polarity focus:

- Narrow scope over the truth-value of the utterance (Güldemann 2009)
- In Kiuyu:
- The proclitic /né/ predeedes the V(O) structure (Morimoto 2014)

POLARITY FOCUS

Q. What happend?
A. ndè-rà:-rốr-ìrê kè-ŋàŋí V: LLHLL
1.SM-PST-watch-PST 7-crocodile
'I watched a crocodile'

Polarity Focus

Q. Did you watch the crocodile?
A. ee, nè ndé-rá:-rɔ́r-ìrɛ̂ kè-ŋàŋí V: HHHLL yes FM 1.SM-PST-watch-PST 7-crocodile
'Yes, I did watch the crocodile'

Similar to the tonal reduction that distinguishes the CJ/DJ form in Haya? (Hyman 1999, Morimoto 2014)?

SUMMARY

- Acoustic evidence of downstep in N. Kikuyu
- N. Kikuyu Downstep:
 - Lowers the pitch or interacts with HTS
 - Marks the right edge of a phonological phrase
 - The place of application is similar to a boundary tone
 Possible explination:

Diachronic relation to the extra L tone in Kikamba

- Phrasing patterns may also be subject to BinMax
- In-situ focus and polarity focus:
 - Preliminary conclusion: No changes in downstep but a tonal change at the verb

Thank you!

REFERENCES

- Armstrong, Lilias Eveline 1940: *The Phonetic and Tonal Structure of Kikuyu. London*: Oxford University Press.
- Benson, T.G. 1964. Kikuyu-English Dictionary. London: Oxford University Press.
- Bergvall, V. L. 1983. Wh-Questions and Island Constraints in Kikuyu: A reanalysis. In: Kaye, J. & Koopman, H. & Sportiche, D. et.al. (eds.): *Current Approaches to African Linguistics, Vol. 2. (pp.* 245-260). Dordrecht: Foris Publications.
- Bergvall, V. L. 1987. *Focus in Kikuyu and universal grammar*. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University.
- Bergvall, V. L. 1987a: The Position and Properties of In Situ and Right-Moved Questions in Kikuyu.
 In: Odden, David (ed.): *Current Approaches to African Linguistics, Vol. 4. (pp.*37-55). Dordrecht: Foris Publications. Bresnan, Joan W. (1968): Remarks on dsententials.
- Clements, G. N. 1980: *A syntactic account of Kikuyu 'ni*'. Paper presented at the Groningen Round Table on Linguistics, 4.
- Clements, G. N. 1984a: Binding Domains in Kikuyu. Studies in the Linguistic Sciences, 14, 37-56.
- Clements, G. N. 1984b: Principles of Tone Assignment in Kikuyu. In: Clements, G. N. & Goldsmith, John (eds.): *Autosegmental Studies in Bantu. (pp. 281-339). Dordrecht: Foris.*
- Clements, G. N. & Ford, K. C. 1979: Kikuyu Tone Shift and Its Synchronic Consequences. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 10, 179-210.

- Cements, G. N. & Ford, Kevin C. (1981): On the Phonological Status of Downstep in Kikuyu. In: Goyvaerts, Didier L. (ed.): *Phonology in the 1980's. (pp. 309-357).* Ghent: Story- Scientia.
- Creissels D., 1998, Expansion and Retraction of High Tone Domains in Setswana, in: L. Hyman & C. Kisseberth (eds), *Theoretical aspects of Bantu Tone*, Stanford, CSLI Publications.
- Elordieta, G. 1997. *Accent, tone and intonation in Lekeito Basque*. Issues in the Phonology and Morphology of the major Iberian languages. Ed. Martinez.Gil & Morales-Front. 3-78. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Ford, K. C. 1976. Tone in Kikamba and the Central Kenya Bantu Languages. *Studies in African Linguistics*. 7 (pp. 261-293).
- Güldemann, T. 1996: Verbalmorphologie und Nebenprädikation im Bantu: Eine Studie zur funktional motivierten Genese eines konjungationalen Subsystems. Bochum: Brockmeyer.
- Guldemann, T. 2009. *Predicate-centered focus types : A sample-based typological study in African languages*. Application for project B7 in the CRC 632 Information structure.
- Gussenhoven, C. 2004 The Phonology of Tone and Intonation. Sound files. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyman L.1985. Word domains and downstep in Bamileke-Dschang. Phonology / Volume 2 / Issue 01 / May 1985, pp 47 83
- Kanerva, J. 1990. Focus and Phrasing in Chichewa Phonology. New York: Garland.
- Moimoto, Y. 2014. Focus Marking in Kikuyu (E51). ms., May 2014 version.
- Nespor, M. & Irene Vogel. 1986 Prosodic Phonology. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Nurse, D. & Philippson, G. (eds). 2003. The Bantu Languages. London. Routledge.

- Odden, D. & R. Ruth Roberts-Kohno. 1999. **Constraints on superlow tone in Kikamba**. In Kager, R. & W. Zonnefeld (Ed), Prosodic and phrasal phonology, Nijmegen : Nijmegen University Press., pp. 145-170.
- Pierrehumbert, J. and M. Beckman. 1988. *Japanese Tone Structure*, Linguistic Inquiry Monograph 15, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Philippson, G. 1992. Tons et accent dans les langues bantu d'Afrique Orientale: étude comparative typologique et diachronique. Ph.D. thesis, Paris: Université René Descartes.
- Selkirk, E. 2011. The Syntax-Phonology Interface, in J. Goldsmith, J. Riggle, and A. Yu, eds., *The Handbook of Phonological Theory*, 2nd edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Selkirk, E. 1984. *Phonology and Syntax. The Relation between Sound and Structure. Cambridge: MIT* Press.
- Truckenbrodt, H. 1999. On the Relation between Syntactic Phrase and Phonological Phrases. Linguistic Inquiry, 30: 2, 219-255. 2
- Yip, M. 2002. Tone. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.