# Linear order as a basic morphosyntactic factor in Non-Khoe Khoisan

Tom Güldemann

Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology and University of Leipzig gueldema@rz.uni-leipzig.de

# 1. Introduction

- Non-Khoe - subgroup of Southern African Khoisan, three lineages: Figure 1, Map

# Figure 1: Constituency of Non-Khoe ( $^{\dagger}$ = extinct, DC = dialect cluster)

1. **Ju** (= Northern Khoisan, DC)

Northwest !'O!Xũu, !Xũu

# Southeast Jul'hoan, ‡Kx'ao||'ãe

# 2. **#Hõa** (undetermined isolate, promising evidence for genealogical link to Ju)

- 3. **Tuu** (= Southern Khoisan)
  - *Taa* West !Xõo, East !Xõo, Kakia<sup>†</sup>, ... (DC); |'Auni<sup>†</sup>, |Haasi<sup>†</sup> (DC)
  - !Ui |Xam<sup>†</sup> (DC); N||ng (DC); <sup>‡</sup>Ungkue<sup>†</sup>; ||Xegwi<sup>†</sup>

- homogeneity of basic morphosyntactic structure, inter alia:

- a) SVO clause order, head-initial noun phrase except head-final nominal attribution
- b) little morphology; importance of constituent order, particles, analytical constructions c) verb serialization

d) special type of relational gram as a default marker of valence-external participants

e) complex + irregular number marking in nominals and predicates, i.a. stem suppletion

f) uncommon type of gender system

g) inclusive/exclusive distinction in pronominal system

> Non-Khoe is a distinctive "typological entity", historical significance unclear

# 2. Clause structure and grammatical relations

- basic clause syntax (n = possible multiple occurrence) > 3 grammatical relations:

# [SUBJECT - PREDICATION.OPERATOR<sub>n</sub> - VERB<sub>n</sub> - OBJECT - PREPOSITION+ADJUNCT<sub>n</sub>]

- virtually all verbs with maximal valence of one non-subject participant
- default preposition = "multipurpose oblique marker (MPO)" for all or most postverbal participants outside the valence of the verb
- > semantically very broad: most semantic roles except those typically expressed by subjects (agent, experiencer, force) and animate objects (patient, recipient); hence patients, all kinds of obliques and adverbials

# 2.1. Comparative data

## 2.1.a. !Ui branch of Tuu

- (1)  $\frac{1}{N} \approx N \| ng$
- a. /*oe-ke ke n//aa ng n//ng //a'i* children-P DECL stay MPO hut inside the children are in the house
- b. *n-a* //*au* !*o* **ng** !*khaa* 1S-SC dig hole MPO water I dig a hole for water (Westphal f.n.)
- (2) Strandberg |Xam
- a. !k'waa n/a-ng /am u'i !hãu au !k'waa ts'axau hartebeest head-DECL take.up rise thong MPO hartebeest eye The hartebeest's head removed the thong from its eye. (Bleek & Lloyd 1911: 6-7)
- b. *hi-ng* /*ũeng-ki* /*ee* //*xauken au* /*g'a au hĩ* /*kx'aa*2-DECL be.thus-? enter blood MPO stomach MPO 2 hand They put the blood in the stomach by their hands like this. (Bleek & Lloyd 1911: 278-9)

> more than two postverbal participants imply multiple MPO adjuncts

## 2.1.b. Taa branch of Tuu (East !Xõo)

- cross-referencing of object on transitive verb (involves complex gender system)

 (3) i qúba sâa //gâã ///nāh'-na sâ'ã
 CONN HS go spend.day chop.out-2 seed.2 and are said to have chopped out (tsamma) seeds all day long (Traill ms.)

- cross-referencing of object on MPO kV, tV

(4) !qhôo kē +ābe kē tâa +àã
 teach MPO:3 black.person.3 MPO:3 person.3 language.2
 teach the black man person's language i.e. !Xóõ (Traill 1994: 88)

- additional oblique markers: /naV dative, #V comitative

(5) !qháã **k**ū ŧnûm //Gûli-tê /è dtxó'lu /nà-e **ť**á sàã DAT-3ANA MPO:4 two.4 genital.2-P ASS:3 stench.3 COM:2 fat.2 give give him their stinking genitals [lit.: stench of their (D) genitals] with the fat (Traill ms.)

- lexicalized collocations between verb stem + oblique marker

(6)  $\overline{n}$  à //qhúu  $k\overline{a}'a$  tán 1S PST snatch **MPO**:2S 5.DEI I snatched it from you (Traill 1994: 118)

> new meanings

(7)  $\overline{n}$  bà àhn tâna **#**'é'é 1S FUT speak **COM**:1ANA I will scold him (Traill 1994: 19)

## 2.1.c. +Hõa

(8) *Titi 'a* **ki** !oa na PROP exist MPO house in Titi is in the house. (Collins 2003) (9) gya"m-/a'a a-tsaxo-cu 'am gye ki //a"e child-DIM.P PROG-cook-give my mother MPO meat The children are cooking meat for my mother. (Collins 2003)

# 2.1.d. Ju (Ju|'hoan)

- interaction of MPO and valence-sensitive verb suffix

- suffix -a indexes presence of at least one nominal outside the valence of the predicate

(10)	aíá	tsí	VS.	aíá	tsí <b>-á</b>	mí
	my.mother	come		my.mother	come-VE	1S
	My mother of	came.		My mother came to me.		(Dickens n.d.: 19, 20)

- suffix final to verb-chain > predicate marker

(11) ha tani u-a e-tsa ko skore 1 fetch go-VE 1P.E-D MPO school he took us (back) to school (Dickens ms.)

- suffix not necessarily referring to adjacent nominal

(12) ka mi ho si /ho-si mi sin ho-a si ko /xoma when 1S see 2 face-P 1S only see-VE 2 MPO sorrow As I saw their faces, I felt sorry for them. [lit.: looked at them with sorrow] (Dickens ms.)

- suffix also relevant for all kinds of semantic roles

(13) mí !ú.n!a'àn !áí-á goàq <sup>#</sup>àn
 1S grandfather die-VE yesterday
 My grandfather died yesterday. (Dickens n.d.: 20)

- multiple MPO adjuncts

(14)/am n/ui e koh kxae-a //kae//kae ko Landbou kàm khoe-a day.1 certain 1P.E PST have-VE assembly MPO agriculture farm place-? San Belange //'an ha //kae//kae-a ko MPO PROP GEN 1 assembly-? One day we had a meeting at the Agriculture Farm for the San Belange Committee. (Dickens ms.)

> MPO for all but first postverbal nominal > \*[VERB *ko*+ADJUNCT]

## 2.2. Verb transitivity and postverbal nominal slots in Non-Khoe

- elements recurrently called "transitive" markers, but transitivity not the central issue (a) multiple MPO occurrence makes transitivity account unlikely

(b) two transitive verbs in series do not alter [VERB<sub>n</sub> - OBJECT - MPO+ADJUNCT<sub>n</sub>]

(15) Strandberg |Xam

*au si-ken sing /'ãa /ii ha au //uten-//uten* and 1P.E-DECL HAB carve.up have 1 MPO P-stone.knife and we used to cut him up with stone knives. (Bleek & Lloyd 1911: 14)

(16) Jul'hoan

dshài  $n/\delta a'$  ian ha dà'ama kà m-sìwoman.1 cook give 1 child MPO food-P The woman cooked food for her child. (Dickens n.d.: 23)

(c) all kinds of postverbal nominals affected, irrespective of semantic role

(17) Ekoka !Xũu

hä //xāi-ā khōmē
1 come-VE tomorrow
he would come tomorrow (König & Heine 2001: 77)

(d) inversion of postverbal participants without any change of marking and of meaning

(18	3)	Ju 'hoan								
a.		<i>ha</i> 1		/Aotcha PROP		<i>∣ám-à</i> day-RH	EL	<i>hè</i> be.this		
	or			/ámà hè otcha toda		Aotche	а			
b.		ha 1		<i>tjù</i> E house		// <i>'àìsi</i> grass				
	or		0	//' <i>àìsi</i> nouse with		tjù				
c.		<i>ha</i> 1		//ohm-a chop-VE			g/ú for	<i>úi</i> rest		
	or	ha He y		//ohma ping the tre			!aì	hn	(Dickens n.d.:	22)

> relative order + marking of postverbal participants can be insensitive to semantic roles

# 2.3. What determines the order of postverbal nominal terms? (Ju|'hoan)

#### (1) Semantically inherent verb role

- semantic role associated with verb transitivity should be closer to verb

(19) ha g/ae ho-a zo ko !aìhn 1 arrive find-VE honey MPO tree he came upon a beehive in a tree (Dickens ms.)

#### (2) Information structure?

- similar pragmatically triggered inversion attested

- (20) Aghem (Benue-Congo, Niger-Congo)<sup>1</sup>
- a. ò mờ fúo kɨ-fú â bɨghá-'kź
   3S TA give CL-rat OBL leopard-CL he gave the rat to the leopard [as meat]

b.	ò m <b>ò</b> fúo	k <del>i</del> -b <del>i</del> ghá	â	fú-kÓ	
	3S TA give	CL-leopard	OBL	rat-CL	
	he gave the RA	(Watters 1979: 156)			

- ?more general correlation between obliques and new asserted information

"The highly grammaticalized character of core arguments and verbs derives from their typical occurrence in highly presuppositional, low-information environments in conversation. The extra-sentential, non-core grammar of adverbials (obliques) reflects their higher informational role, position at the margins of an intonation unit, and reduced degree of integration with the nuclear clause." (Hopper 1999)

> assumed hierarchy of increasing thematicity: Adjunct > Object > Subject

(21)	te	!ha-si-a	<i>ŧhai</i>	е	//ae	te	tsi-a	/Aotcha
	CONN	meat-P-REL	be.many	1P.E	have	CONN	come-VE	PROP
	te	tsi ge- <b>a</b>	Aotch	a <b>ko</b>	be	ke n/è'é	6	
		come stay-V						
		2						tcha for a week.

> presumably reflected in (18) > higher ranking of thematicity over semantics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Form and position of noun class markers change with different information status. The inversion of participants which are equal on the animacy hierarchy changes meaning.

#### 5

#### (3) Animacy

- animate closer to verb

(22) te /am n#hao-a e ko n!ama n!áng
 CONN sun set-VE 1P.E MPO road inside
 The sun set when we were still on the road [lit.: the sun set on us on the road]. (Dickens ms.)

- animacy wins out over thematicity

(23) *te //an Tsamkxao ... ko !/ha te ce te //an !/Uu N!a'an ko ka* CONN give PROP MPO meat.4 CONN do.also CONN give PROP MPO 4 and gave meat to Tsamkxao ... and also gave some to Old !/Uu (Dickens ms.)

also acceptable ... ce te /'an ka ko !'Uu N!a'an (Güldemann f.n.)

- animacy wins out over semantics (animacy applies to possessed body part)

(24) ká jù ku sìn //ae-a jù g!áú ko tci when person IPFV just hold-VE person hand MPO thing when a person just picks up something with his hand ... (Biesele & Güldemann f.n.)

> probable ranking hierarchy of different order parameters:

# **Animacy > Thematicity > Semantics**

# 2.4. Summary

- verb transitivity relevant:
  - lexicalized presence vs. absence of participant markers
  - lexicalized transitivity affects syntax > marking unaffected by zero anaphor (25)
  - and left-dislocation in focalization (26), relativization (27), and topicalization (28)
- (25) Jul'hoan

//'akaa aia n/oa koce te /'u-a gui then my.mother.1 cook coffee CONN insert-VE salt /'**u-**a khama ha n/a koh ko n//og'obe gui n!áng MPO bottle because 1 insert-VE salt you.see PST inside my mother made coffee and added salt to it [= coffee], because, you see, she had put the salt into a bottle (Dickens ms.)

- (26)  $k \delta$   $r \epsilon$  ha  $\iota a = ha \iota a k \delta$   $r \epsilon$ LOC GQ 1 go-VE Where will he go? (Dickens n.d.: 26)
- (27) *n!homa m-!a u-a ko-a goaq#an ke he e-tsa Dabe u-a* tomorrow 1P.I-P go-VE LOC.4-REL yesterday be.this.4 REL 1P.E-D PROP go-VE Tomorrow we should go to this place of the last day where Dabe and I went. (Dickens ms.)
- (28) #Hõa
- a. *koloi g//on-a #'amkoe ki gyeo na* car hit-PERF person MPO road in A car hit a person in the road. (Collins 2003)
- b. *#amkoe* koloi g//on-a ki gyeo na person car hit-PERF MPO road in The person, the truck hit [it] in the road. (Collins 2003)
- c. *gyeo na koloi g//on-a ki ‡amkoe* road in car hit-PERF MPO person In the road, a truck hit a person. (Collins 2003)

- valence does not determine very strongly the position of a lexical argument

> weak syntactic tie between transitive verb and argument (?syntactic VP-constituent)

- > ?"flat" syntactic template of sequentially ordered slots with minimal morphology
- > poor correlation between syntax and semantic roles
- > participant marking varies in unexpected ways, depends on presence/position of other participants
- (29) Jul'hoan
- a. dà'ámá jàn /'àn ha bá kò màrì child.1 good give 1 father MPO money The good child gave his father money.
- b. *Kaùh //xòàsì kú nà 'msì* PROP always IPFV give.me food Kaùh always gives me food. (Dickens n.d.: 23)
- (30) Strandberg |Xam
- a. *ha se !ann ha* 1 IRR hold 1 he will hold it (Bleek 1956: 406)
- b. !ann //wē'i u au !õ'ing hold strongly 2P MPO old.man Grasp ye the old man firmly! (Bleek & Lloyd 1911: 48)

## 3. Linear position and verb serialization

- some languages with following verb serial structure:

#### [VERB<sub>n</sub> OBJECT ADJUNCT<sub>n</sub>] = "nuclear~root ser."

> not [[VERB OBJECT]<sub>n</sub> ADJUNCT<sub>n</sub>] = "core ser." (Olson 1981, Foley & Van Valin 1984)

- (31) Mandarin (Sinitic, Sino-Tibetan)
- a. *wõ qĭng nĭ chī wŭfàn* = "serial verb construction" alias core ser. I treat you eat lunch I'll treat you to lunch. (Hansell 1993: 214)
- b. *nóngmín dă sĭ láng* = "complement construction" alias root ser. peasant hit die wolf The peasant beats the wolf to death. (Hansell 1993: 228)

- root serialization inhibits most iconic placement of participant as a pivot

(32) Ekoka !Xũu

cŋ má g!hō àlācící !ún mí 3P TOP sit.P tickle stand.S 1S \*cŋ má g!hō àlācící mí !ún They sit and tickle me up. (König 2003)

- also for perception verbs as "matrix" > kind of non-causative subject raising

(33) Strandberg |Xam

*si* tang //'a-ng do'a **n/îi** tếe !k'waa aa /uuk-a 1P.E ?PF go-? ? see lie hartebeest.1 1REL die-STAT We did see a dead hartebeest lying there! (Bleek & Lloyd 1911: 10) - event-iconicity + animacy overrides semantics

(34) Jul'hoan
ha //'ámá /'àn ha jú-sì kò zó
1 barter give 1 people-P MPO sugar
He bought sugar for his people. (Dickens n.d.: 23)

> confirms the generally low ranking of semantic ordering parameter

# 4. Linear proximity and pronominal suffixation (Taa only)

- pronominal suffixes attach obligatorily to certain hosts

- anaphoric agreement only for adjectives and clause-final relative marker kV

- mostly anticipatory/ cataphoric marking/agreement with following nominal

> three syntactic types of nominal triggers:

# Table 1: Triggers and targets of cataphoric pronominal suffixesTriggers ~ controllersClitic hosts as targets

(a)	subjects after grams of sentence type and subordination	question /V; term focus $kV$ , $tV$ ; manner-reason- purpose $bV$ ; initial relative $tV$ ; intention $tV$
(b)	complements after relational grams and transitive predicates	copulative <i>k</i> V, <i>t</i> V; similative <i>b</i> V; MPO <i>k</i> V, <i>t</i> V; dative / <i>na</i> V; comitative <i>#</i> V; associative /V; verb
(c)	possessors	all grams under (a) and (b)

- anticipatory suffixation targets the first possible nominal

- bare vs. complex class-2 nominalization
- (35) East !Xõo
- a. *ùh ń bà káne kà !qāhe-sà* 4ANA ? ?IPFV want MPO:**2** hunt-NOM.**2** they want to hunt/ hunting (Traill 1994: 17)
- b. ùh ń bà //ūn /à /ùã /àũ //nàa /nēe-sà
   4ANA ? ?IPFV refuse:1S ASS:2 give:2 tobacco.2 DAT:3ANA-NOM.2 they refuse (disapprove of) my giving him tobacco (Traill 1994: 30)
- (36)  $\bar{n}$   $\hat{n}$   $\hat{b}\hat{a}$   $\#\hat{a}\hat{n}\hat{s}\hat{a}\hat{n}$   $/n\bar{a}\hat{-}e$   $!n\bar{u}\hat{l}e$   $t\hat{e}$ 1S ? ?IPFV wish:[**1S** see-3 country.3] COMP I want to see the country (Traill 1994: 17)

#### - initial modifier in nominal attribution

(37) East !Xõo

a. sí bà '#nģ-li !nỳ.ũ 'í Oàa CONN ?IPFV remove-1 hare.1 1ANA child.3 and was taking out Hare's child (from the skin she was carrying it in) (Traill ms.)

b. *sí* /*ùa* '*†ná-le Oàa ké* !*ù.m* '*ée #'úm tshô.e* CONN take remove-3 child.3 MPO:**3** eland.**3** 3ANA palm.2 and took out the child from Eland's (actually: Drongo's) palm (Traill ms.)

(38)	ké	'Onà.je	/nà.n	kã	'Onà.ã	/nà.ã
	MPO: <b>3</b>	tree.3	head.2	MPO:2	trees.2	heads.2
	on top o	f the tree		on top o	f the trees	s (Dickens & Traill 1977: 136)

```
(39) West !Xõo
     n si n/a-e n//ahe ≠'o-e
a.
      1S IPFV see-3 house one-3
      I see one house.
      n si n/a-i n//aen ti //ari ki
1S IPFV see-1 houses REL:1 many REL:1
      I see many houses.
                                                                     GENDER 3/1
b.
     //kx'oe n//ahe \neq o-an
      rain
             house one-2
      one cloud
      //kx'oe n//aen ka //ari ka
      rain houses REL:2 many REL:2
      many clouds
                                                                     GENDER 2/2
     n si n/a-e //kx'oe
1S IPFV see-3 rain
c.
      I see rain.
      n si n/a-e //kx'oe te //ari ke
1S IPFV see-3 rain REL:3 much REL:3
      I see much rain.
                                                                     GENDER 3/-
d.
      n si
                n/a-e //kx'oe n//ahe ‡'o-an
      1S IPFV see-3 rain house one-2
      I see one cloud.
      n si
                n/a-e //kx'oe n//aen ka
                                             //ari
                                                     ka
      1S IPFV see-3 rain houses REL:2 many REL:2
      I see many clouds.
                                                                     (Güldemann f.n.)
> cataphoric agreement \neq gender, agreement dissociation within one constituent
- virtually no exceptions
(40) East !Xõo
```

- a. !*ù.m à //'à-be* !*nỳ.ũ Qaa* eland.3 PST take.S-**3** hare.1 child.**3** Eland took the child of Hare. (Traill ms.)
- b. /*îi* !*ù.m* '*é* sí g//kx'ó-e !*nù.ũ* '*i* Oàa /*îi* so eland.3 3ANA CONN carry-**3** hare.1 1ANA child.**3** STAT So Eland, she is carrying Hare's child (Traill ms.)

linear order determines proximity~distance between constituents
 proximity overrides semantic and syntactic configuration (attested elsewhere)

# Linear syntax overrides semantics as well as hierarchic syntax

## Glosses

ANA anaphoric pronoun, ASS associative (= genitive), CL noun class, CONN clause connective, COM comitative, COMP complementizer, D dual, DAT dative, DECL declarative, DEI deictic, DIM diminutive, E exclusive, FUT future, HS hearsay, IPFV imperfective, LOC locative pronoun, MPO multipurpose oblique, NOM nominalization, P plural, PERF perfect, PF predication focus, PROG progressive, PROP proper name, PST past, REL relative, S singular, STAT stative, TOP topic, VE valency-external participant

Arabic number followed by S/D/P: person category Arabic number without S/D/P: agreement class

#### References

Bleek, Dorothea. 1956. A Bushman dictionary. New Haven: American Oriental Society.

- Bleek, Wilhelm H. I. & Lucy C. Lloyd. 1911. Specimens of Bushman folklore. London: George Allen.
- Collins, Christopher. 2003. *The linker in Ju/'hoansi and #Hoan*. Paper presented at the "International Symposium on Khoisan Languages and Linguistics in Memory of Jan W. Snyman", Riezlern, 4-8 January 2003.
- Dickens, Patrick J. n.d. Ju/'hoan grammar. Unpublished ms. Windhoek: Nyae Nyae Development Foundation.
- Dickens, Patrick J. (ed.) ms. *N*+oahnsi o Ju/'hoansi masi: a collection of Ju/'hoan reminiscences and folktales. Unpublished. Windhoek: Nyae Nyae Development Foundation.
- Dickens, Patrick J. & Anthony Traill. 1977. Collective and distributive in !Xõo. In Traill, Anthony (ed.), *Khoisan linguistic studies 3*. Communications 6. Johannesburg: African Studies Institute, University of the Witwatersrand, 132-144.
- Foley, William A. & Robert D. Van Valin. 1984. *Functional syntax and universal grammar*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hansell, Mark. 1993. Serial verbs and complement constructions in Mandarin: a clause linkage analysis. In Van Valin, Robert D. (ed.), *Advances in role and reference grammar*. Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 82. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 197-233.
- Hopper, Paul. 1999. Conversation and argument structure: the significance of dialogue for grammatical explanation. Paper presented to the conference "New Reflections on Grammaticalization", Potsdam, 1999.
- König, Christa. 2003. Serial verb constructions in !Xun. Paper presented at the "International Symposium on Khoisan Languages and Linguistics in Memory of Jan W. Snyman", Riezlern, 4-8 January 2003.
- König, Christa & Bernd Heine. 2001. *The !Xun of Ekoka: a demographic and linguistic report*. Khoisan Forum, Working Papers 17. Köln: Institut für Afrikanistik, Universität zu Köln.
- Olson, Michael L. 1981. *Barai clause junctures: toward a functional theory of interclausal relations*. Ph.D. thesis: Australian National University, Canberra.
- Traill, Anthony. 1994a. A !Xóõ dictionary. Quellen zur Khoisan-Forschung 9. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Traill, Anthony. ms. Uhbuku's spoon and other dangers: !Xóõ texts on then and now.
- Watters, John R. 1979. Focus in Aghem: a study of its formal correlates and typology. In Hyman, Larry M. (ed.), *Aghem grammatical structure*. Los Angeles: Department of Linguistics, University of Southern California, 137-197.

