Complex sentences in Avatime

Saskia van Putten

May 26, 2015

1 Introduction

1.1 Research questions

- What different types of subordinate clauses does Avatime have?
- · How does Avatime coordinate clauses?
- Can all clause-linkage constructions be classified within the subordination-coordination dichotomy?
 - Focus on constructions with xé and gì which seem to have properties of both subordinate and coordinate clauses.

1.2 Subordination and coordination

Subordination

- Syntactic definition: the subordinate clause is a constituent in the matrix clause.
- Functional definition: the subordinate clause is non-asserted (see e.g. Cristofaro, 2003).
- Three major types:
 - Complement clauses: subordinate clause is argument of main verb
 - Relative clauses: subordinate clause modifies noun
 - Adverbial clauses: subordinate clause modifies predicate or entire main clause

Coordination

Two linked clauses have equal status, neither is dependent on the other.

Cosubordination

- Third type suggested by some (see e.g. Foley & Van Valin, 1984; Van Valin, 2005) with properties of both coordination and subordination.
- Two linked clauses have equal syntactic status (one is not a constituent of the other) but one is in some way semantically dependent on the other.

1.3 Avatime

Background

- Niger-Congo: Kwa: Ghana-Togo Mountain: Ka
- Spoken in 7 villages in South-Eastern Ghana
- \pm 15,000 speakers
- Neighbouring languages: Ewe, Tafi/Nyangbo (Ka-GTM), Logba (Na-GTM)
- · All speakers also speak Ewe

Linguistic properties

- 9 vowels with ATR-based root-controlled vowel harmony.
- Three tones: low (marked à), high (unmarked) and extra-high (marked á).
- The extra-high tone has a limited distribution and occurs mostly on function words and affixes or as a result of tone-raising.
- Noun class system with 7 genders, genders 1-6 consist of a sg-pl pair, 7 is for mass nouns (numbering follows Heine (1968)).
- Noun class marked with prefix on the noun; there is agreement on numerals, determiners and subject marking on the verb.
- Subject-marking on the verb is obligatory; there is no object agreement.
- Aspect, modality and negation are marked with prefixes on the verb, no tense-marking.
- When the verb is unmarked for aspect/modality, it has a perfective interpretation (or ongoing state with stative verbs).
- Canonical SVO word order (rather strict).
- Focus-marking by fronting + extra-high tone on the last syllable of the focused constituent.
- Frequent use of serial verb constructions (sequence of 2 or more finite verbs within one clause, with only the first verb fully inflected).

Subordination 2

2.1 **Complement clauses**

- Avatime uses the complementizer sì to link complement clauses.
- When the third person singular subject of the main clause is identical in reference to the subject of the subordinate clause, the subject prefix on the subordinate verb is marked as logophoric (2).

- (1) $ma-m\grave{\partial}$ [$s\grave{i}$ $y\varepsilon$ $n\grave{i}$ ∂ - $n\grave{i}$ $v\grave{\partial}$ $\acute{\partial}$ - $t\emph{D}$ $b\grave{\varepsilon}\acute{\varepsilon}$ -za] 1s.SBJ-see **COMP** C_{1s} and C_{1s} -child C_{1s} -INDF C_{1p} .SBJ.PROG-pass 'I saw that he and a certain child were passing.' (famprob_110409_DQ-KX_story)
- (2) $5-p\varepsilon$ [sì yí-pe] C_{1s} .SBJ.NEG-want COMP C_{1s} .LOG.SBJ.SBJV-tire 'She doesn't want to get tired.' (conv-greenhouse_110408_SO-ViA)
- The complementizer seems to have originated from the verb si 'say/tell'.
- Verb and complementizer are frequently used together, especially when a recipient argument is expressed.
- (4) be- $z\check{e}$ -do [$s\grave{i}$ be- $dz\grave{i}$ - $n\grave{i}$ =ye $kiv\grave{o}de$] C_{1p} .SBJ-REC-say COMP C_{1p} .SBJ-return-COM = C_{1s} .OBJ day.before.yesterday 'They were saying that they brought her back the day before yesterday.' (conv-funeral_100528_7)
- There are complement clauses without a complementizer.
- Found in my corpus only with two verbs: si 'say/tell' and $p\varepsilon$ 'want'
- (5) a-si [mí-gà]

 C_{1s}.SBJ-say 1s.SBJ.SBJV-move

 'She said I should come.' (conv-funeral_100528_7-1)
- (6) α-pε [yi-trε ní níyà nì níyà petee]
 C_{1s}.SBJ-want C_{1s}.LOG.SBJ.SBJV-go LOC here and here all
 'He wanted to go to both here and here.' (kadzidzia_110409_AB_1)
- Complement clauses can also be linked to the main clause with $x\acute{e}$ 'if/when/and', when the event described in the complement is uncertain.
- (7) mó-te [xé e-tse]
 1s.SBJ.NEG-know CON C_{1s}.SBJ-die
 'I don't know if he died.' (famprob_110401_MeD-BeK_story)

Relative clauses 2.2

- The relative clause follows the head noun and is linked to it with the particle gì.
- It optionally ends with clause marker *E* (in about 50% of the cases)
 - E assimilates in both ATR value and vowel height to the preceding vowel
 - E follows relative, conditional and some temporal clauses; some types of main clauses and left-dislocated elements
- With relativized subjects, objects and adjuncts there is usually a gap in the regular position of the relativized element.
- There may also be a focus-marked resumptive pronoun within the relative clause.
- With relativized possessors and objects of adpositions, there is always a resumptive pronoun in the relative clause.

Relativized subject:

(8) $\grave{\varepsilon}\acute{\varepsilon}$ -p ε ว์-ทว [**gì** e-feke dòme nì ka-soi- $\hat{a} = \varepsilon$ C_{1s} .SBJ.PROG-want C_{1s} -person **REL** C_{1s} .SBJ-lift.up thing and C_{6s} -basket-DEF = **CM** 'He is looking for the person who has taken the basket with the things.'

(pear_100624_ElD-JA)

Relativized object:

(9) $\grave{\varepsilon} \acute{\varepsilon} - k \jmath$ bέ-là [**gì** a-zě-gu] C_{1s}.SBJ.PROG-take C_{1p}-DIST **REL** C_{1s}.SBJ-REC-pick 'He was taking those (fruits) that he had been picking.' (pear_100719_PhA-DQ)

Adjunct of place:

(10) $l\check{\epsilon}$ lulɔ nílà [gì kí-ŋa and 1p.SBJ.POT-take clean there REL 1p.SBJ-eat C3s-day-DEF 'And we will clean up the place where we celebrated the festival (literally: ate the day).' (chiefs-meeting 100619 03)

Adjunct of time (=temporal adverbial clause):

(11)kə li-pó *lέ-l*ὸ [**gì** ba-nùvò-a bε-ná lì1 so C_{3s}-time C_{3s}-DIST **REL** C_{1p}-child-DEF C_{1p}.SBJ-reach:LOC there li-boeboe C_{1s} .SBJ.NEG-tell = C_{1p} .OBJ C_{3s} -anything 'So that time when the children reached there, he didn't say anything to them?' (pear_100709_MiA-DQ) Relativized object and adjunct with focus-marked resumptive pronoun:

(12) e-gbo-là [gì lá kui-man $\hat{\jmath} = \varepsilon$], kíà-dzì-nì la C_{3p} -chair-DEF REL C_{3p} :FOC 1p.SBJ-bring = CM 1p.SBJ.POT-return-COM C_{3p} tsinì ní níkl $\hat{\jmath}$ take LOC there

'The chairs that we brought, we will take them back there.'

(chiefs-meeting 100619 03)

(13) $x\acute{e}$ àbleke kíà-trɔ li-wè-le [gì lɛ kóŋ ki-tá-tu then now 1p.SBJ-put.on C_{3s} -day-DEF REL C_{3s} exactly:FOC 1p.SBJ-INT-uproot ba]

'Then we will set the exact day at which we will uproot them.'

(conv-ablorme 100715 SO-AS)

Object of postposition/possessor: always resumptive pronoun in relative clause (focused or non-focused).

- (14) $b\acute{a}$ - $n\grave{>}$ $ts\grave{i}ts\grave{i}=a$ [$g\grave{i}$ ba $s\acute{u}$ $\acute{5}$ - $n\grave{>}$ - ε e- $ts\acute{e}=e$] C_{1p} -person old = DEF **REL** C_{1p} beside:FOC C_{1s} -person-DEF S_{1s} .SBJ-die = CM 'the old people who are near to the person who died (literally: the old people beside whom the person died)' (funeral_100531_MM-EM)
- (15) $m\grave{a}$ -panı oʻ-nyimé líye [gì ye-nèmi-ye e-tsé=e]
 1s.SBJ-greet C_{1s} -man PROX. C_{1s} REL C_{1s} .POSS-sibling-DEF C_{1s} .SBJ-die=CM'I greeted the man whose brother died.' (elic-SIS_100626_AB)

2.3 Adverbial clauses

2.3.1 Temporal clauses with gi

- Temporal clauses can be formed by relative clauses with a head noun such as 'time' (see example (11)).
- More often, there is no head noun and the temporal clause simply starts with *gì* (the relative clause linker).
- Like relative clauses, these clauses frequently end in the clause marker *E*.
- A temporal clause with gi usually precedes the main clause.
- The events in the two clauses happen simultaneously, or sequentially (subordinate event before main event).
- The event in the subordinate clause is presented as something that has actually happened.

(16) $[gi \ \delta-di \ dz\dot{\epsilon}=\epsilon] \ \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ -sa \dot{a} -kp ϵ -là REL C_{1s} .SBJ-sit again = CM C_{1s} .SBJ-PROG-hit C_{3p} -hand-DEF 'When he sat down again, he was clapping his hands.'

(maus-drum 100709 Mia-DQ)

(17)ว-ทนังวั kporokporo 5-to kò [gì mα-zἔ-ηà тэ me-zè C_{1s}-INDF just **REL** 1s.SBJ-REC-eat 1s.CTR 1s.SBJ-be C_{1s}-child round ì-klipò-le ε-kí $ba = \varepsilon 1$ C_{3s} -witness-DEF SVM-give $C_{1p} = CM$ 'I was only a small child when I functioned as a witness to them.' (ablabe_AD-YD)

2.3.2 Temporal/conditional clauses with xé (gì)

- $X\acute{e}$ or the combination $x\acute{e}$ $g\grave{i}$ is used to form conditional clauses or temporal clauses that describe events that have not (yet) happened.
- These clauses usualy precede the main clause.
- These clauses often end in the clause-marker *E*.
- (18) The speaker is giving a day-by-day description of what they have planned for a festival later in the year.

[$x\acute{e}$ $k\acute{i}$ - ηa li- $w\grave{e}$ -le li- $p\grave{a}$ -le $p\acute{5}$ = ϵ], li- $p\grave{a}$ d $z\grave{u}$ w\grave{e}-le CON 1p.SBJ-eat C_{3s}-day-DEF C_{3s}-Saturday-DEF finish = CM C_{3s}-Sunday-DEF $k\acute{u}$ -do $m\acute{a}$ wu- $y\grave{e}$ $das\grave{e}$ 1p.SBJ.POT-say God thanks 'When we finish celebrating (literally: eating) the festival on Saturday, on Sunday we will thank God.' (chiefs-meeting_100619_03)

(19) From a story about a man who goes to prison and has to hand in his clothes and wear prison clothes.

[$x\acute{e}$ $w\grave{o}$ -nyime ki- $d\acute{t}$ 2] $b\varepsilon$ - $t\acute{a}$ -bu = $b\acute{e}$ w2 CON 2s.SBJ.PROG-wear C_{4s}-thing:INDF C_{1p}.SBJ-INT-remove = C_{4p}.OBJ:LOC 2s su side

'If you are wearing something they will take it off you.'

(famprob_110401_MeD-BeK_story)

(20) [$x\acute{e}$ $g\grave{i}$ $a-z \acute{e}-b\grave{a}s \dot{i}=blo$ $b\grave{a}-li-\grave{a}=\pmb{\varepsilon}$] ko ki-bu CON REL C_{1s} .SBJ-IT-show = 1p.OBJ C_{5p} -palm.tree-DEF = CM then 1p.SBJ-remove wa $s \dot{\mu}=i$ C_{5p} side = CM

'If he shows us the palm trees, then we'll clear (the bush) around them.' (conv-ablorme 100715 SO-AS)

Exception where the xé-clause describes an event that has happened.

(21) [$x\acute{e}$ e-tsyi $s\r{?}$ yi-di] ka a a-gblaga a- $l\'{!}$ $n\'{l}$ con c_{1s} -turn comp c_{1s} .comp c_{1s} .comp comp comp

2.3.3 Purpose clauses

- **Purpose clauses** can be marked with the complementizer s_i or with the purposive particle (a)to.
- (22) lóso a-ba [sì yi-bé-di=blo li-vlé so C_{1s} .SBJ-come COMP C_{1s} .LOG.SBJ.SBJV-VEN-look=1p.OBJ C_{3s} -morning lé-yà tete] C_{3s} -PROX like.that 'So she has come to see us this morning.' (avopa_100512_1)
- (23) wò-tá-nya ò-klị-lò ní níyà [tɔ dzèsi-e o-kí-mu]
 2s.SBJ-INT-tie C_{2s}-leg-DEF LOC here PURP blood-DEF C_{1s}.SBJ-PROH-ascend
 'You will tie the leg here so that the blood will not flow up.'

 (illness 100616 SO-DS)

3 Coordination

3.1 The connector $l\tilde{\epsilon}$

- $L\check{\epsilon}$ 'and/then' is used for conjunction of clauses that have actually happened or are ongoing.
- The events described by the two clauses can simultaneously or sequentially.
- Clauses that are linked with $l\tilde{\varepsilon}$ are often followed by the clause marker E.
- $L\check{\epsilon}$ often conjoins at text level, indicating continuation of a story (first $l\check{\epsilon}$ in (24)).

(24) Previous: 'Then Atrodze sent his son to Lulu's place to fetch fire. To see what is happening there.'

lě $5-nùv\hat{5}-\varepsilon$ $a-tr\varepsilon$ lě $b\varepsilon-v\hat{5}$ lị-fifli- $n\varepsilon$ $x\acute{e}$ and C_{1s} -child-DEF C_{1s} .SBJ-go and C_{1p} .SBJ-mold C_{3s} -t.o.porridge-DEF and $b\acute{e}-k\hat{5}$ $\varepsilon-k\acute{l}=y\varepsilon$ C_{1p} .SBJ-take SVM-give = C_{1s} .OBJ

'And the child went, and they made some porridge and gave it to him.'

(kadzidzia_110406_QM)

(25) Description of a video in which two events happen at the same time.

- Lž may also link a main clause to a preceding temporal subordinate clause.
- (26) $g \hat{a} k \hat{b} = \epsilon$ $tr \hat{b} = \epsilon$ t

(pear 100709 MiA-DQ)

3.2 The connector k_2

- *Kɔ* conjoins clauses that describe events which are not known to have happened or generic.
- The two events may happen simultaneously or sequentially (second clause after first).
- Clauses linked with kɔ frequently end in the clause marker *E*.
- *Kɔ* can also be used with events that have happened to indicate the start of a new episode or topic of discourse.
- (27) The speaker is explaining what will happen at an event they are planning later that year.

kui-tè sị bíà-kpese dòme ní gbàdzemè kɔ 1p.SBJ-know COMP 1p.SBJ.POT-start thing LOC Gbadzeme and $b\varepsilon$ -bá $babiakpa = \varepsilon$

 C_{1p} .SBJ-come:LOC Biakpa = CM

'We know that they will start the thing in Gbadzeme and then they will come to Biakpa.' (chiefs-meeting 100619 03)

- (28) 1 $l\check{\epsilon}$ $k\grave{a}$ -t $\grave{\iota}$ kpa-a a- $w\grave{\flat}$ $l\check{\iota}$ - η w \grave{a} f $\grave{\iota}$ - $n\epsilon$ $m\grave{\epsilon}$ $x\acute{\epsilon}$ and C_{6s} -male.goat-DEF C_{1s} .SBJ-remain C_{3s} -forest-DEF inside and $\grave{\epsilon}$ $\acute{\epsilon}$ - $s\acute{\flat}$ $y\epsilon$ $\grave{\flat}$ -ny \flat - $n\flat$ $m\grave{\epsilon}$ C_{1s} .SBJ.PROG-hoe C_{1s} C_{2s} -farm-DEF inside 'And the goat was left in the forest and he was hoeing his farm.'
 - 2 **kɔ** e-wè-la gì $b\varepsilon$ -trɔ kṛ́ ɔ-kàtsì-e kunu-yè and C_{3p} -day-DEF REL C_{1p} .SBJ-put give C_{1s} -old.man-DEF funeral-DEF e-wè-la ε -na- ε C_{3p} -day-DEF C_{3p} .SBJ-reach-CM 'So the day they set for the funeral of the old man, the day has arrived.' (kadzidzia 110406 QM)
- *K2* also links main clauses to preceding temporal or conditional clauses.
- (29) $x\acute{e}$ $g\grave{i}$ $a-z \check{e}-b \grave{a} s i=b l 2$ $b \grave{a}-l i-\grave{a}=\pmb{e}$ k 2 CON REL $C_{1s}.SBJ-IT-show=1p.OBJ$ $C_{5p}-palm.tree-DEF=\mathbf{CM}$ then ki-bu wa $s \mu=i$ 1p.SBJ-remove C_{5p} side=CM 'If he shows us the palm trees, then we'll clear (the bush) around them.' (conv-ablorme_100715_SO-AS)

3.3 Other coordinators

- The marker $p\hat{j}$ 'but' is used to indicate an adversative relation.
- (30) 1 $\acute{n}tep\grave{\circ}$ $\emph{bredzima-}\varepsilon$ $\emph{o-kp}\grave{a}si$ $\emph{n}\acute{i}$ $\emph{s}\grave{\imath}$ - $\emph{w}\grave{l}\grave{a}\emph{w}\grave{l}\grave{a}$ - $\emph{s}\varepsilon$ $\emph{m}\grave{\varepsilon}$ so t.o.snake-DEF C_{1s} .SBJ-be.in LOC C_7 -palm.branch-DEF inside 'There was a snake in those palm branches.'
 - 2 $p\hat{\sigma}$ $b\acute{a}-m\hat{\sigma}=\varepsilon$ **but** $C_{1p}.SBJ.NEG-see=C_{1s}.OBJ$ 'But they didn't see it.'

(Avatime-history BB 20110905)

- Disjunction is marked with *putɔ*, or more frequently àló (borrowed from Ewe).
- These markers can also be used for disjoint noun phrases.
- (31) ki- $h\acute{o}$ $b\varepsilon$ - $t\acute{a}$ - $h\emph{o}$ = $l\emph{o}$ \grave{a} l\acute{o} $b\acute{a}$ - $t\emph{o}$ = $l\emph{o}$ C_{4s} -grind:FOC C_{1p} .SBJ-INT-grind= C_{2s} .OBJ or C_{1p} .SBJ.POT-pound= C_{2s} .OBJ $n\acute{a}$ $k\acute{a}$ - $d\varepsilon$ $m\grave{c}$ LOC C_{4s} -mortar inside 'Do they grind it or pound it in a mortar?' (illness_100616_SO-DS)

(32)One speaker mentions that to cure a certain disease, you can use the leaves from a certain plant. Another speaker interrupts and asks:

à-wòwò-la putò à-kpa-kpa-là C_{3p}-green-DEF **or** C_{3p}-RED-dry-DEF 'Fresh ones or dry ones?'

(illness_100616_SO-DS)

Coordination or subordination?

xé-clauses following 'main clause'

- Second clause linked with xé: can be interpreted as a subordinate clause.
- Usually a 'before'-interpretation, but may also be purpose-like.
- blə kedana kú-tá-tanì (33)kunu-yè э-жа хé 1p Avatime.people 1p.SBJ.NEG-INT-be.able funeral-DEF INF-do CON à-mu-nà 1p.SBJ.POT-eat C_{3p}-rice-DEF 'We Avatime people cannot perform the funeral rites before we celebrate the rice-festival.' (chiefs-meeting_100619_03)
- (34)bà-wá bá-là gì bε-zě-bìtε **5-**niγε *ό-niye* kí хé C_{1p} -medicine C_{1p} -DIST REL C_{1p} .SBJ-REC-make give C_{1s} -person **CON** C_{1s} -person lì-gba C_{1s} .SBJ.POT-receive C_{3s} -life 'that medicine that they used to give to a person before/so that the person will get better (literally: receive life)' (illness 100616 SO-DS)
- ko kíte a-tá-bíte хé a-ba là (35)and how C_{1s}-INT-do CON C_{1s}-come there Q 'So what can he do to come there?' (kadzidzi_turtle_110924_PKD)
- (36)si-kpàkpà-sε sí-ma áà-prùdù хé C₇-wings-DEF C₇.NEG-not.be **CON** C_{1s}.SBJ.POT-fly 'There are no wings for him to fly.' (kadzidzi turtle 110924 PKD)
- More often, a second clause starting with $x\acute{e}$ is more like a coordinate clause.
- The events in the two clause can be sequential or simultaneous.
- Clauses conjoined with xé seem semantically more tightly related than those conjoined with *l\vec{\xi}*.

• *Xé* can be used to coordinate two clauses within a larger subordinate clause.

Xé indicating a tight relation between events:

- (37) a-dra li-gba- $l\hat{c}$ $x\acute{e}$ e- $d\grave{o}$ = e C_{1s} .SBJ-open C_{3s} -room-DEF and C_{1s} .SBJ-move.out = CM'He opened the door and came out.' (FinSto_100517_AB)
- (38) ba tiegloele be-vù \dot{l} -wlà-le $x\acute{e}$ ba-lee C_{1p} C_{1p}.seven C_{1p} .SBJ-hold C_{2p} -hand-DEF CON C_{1p}.SBJ-stand 'The seven of them were holding hands and standing.' (FinSto_100517_AB)

 $X\acute{e}$ used to connect clauses that are not in a tight relation - similar to $l \check{e}$ (rare):

- (39) 1 5-dz ϵ tsy ϵ 6-gbe kóŋ l $\check{\epsilon}$ a-d \check{i} m ϵ s \check{i} C_{1s}-woman ADD C_{1s}.SBJ.NEG-refuse at all and C_{1s}.SBJ-agree COMP á \check{a} -z \check{e} n \check{i} y ϵ C_{1s}.SBJ.POT-be with C_{1s} 'The woman did not refuse at all and she agreed to marry him.'
 - 2 $x\acute{e}$ $y\epsilon$ $n\grave{i}$ $y\epsilon$ $b\epsilon$ - $b\grave{i}t\epsilon$ ba-tr2tr2- \grave{a} petee CON C_{1s} and C_{1s} C_{1p} .SBJ-make C_{1p} -plan-DEF all 'And he and she made all the plans' (kadzidzia 110406 AuA)

Xé coordinating two clauses within a subordinate clause (compare also (34) above):

- (40)kɔ [gì ɔ-nùvò-ɛ ò-se-lo e-mu kú хé so REL C_{1s}-child-DEF C_{1s}.SBJ-ascend arrive:LOC C_{2s}-tree-DEF inside **CON** ka-dzòi-a ke-dó ò-se-lo mè1, ki-plé witch C_{6s}-bird-DEF C_{6s}-move.out:LOC C_{2s}-tree-DEF inside C_{4s}-descend:FOC àló a-pi C_{1s} .SBJ-descend or C_{1s} .SBJ-jump 'So when the child climbed into the tree and the owl came out of the tree, did he climb down or did he jump?' (frog_100719_DQ-PhA)
- (41) $n\text{i}w\text{l}\hat{\sigma}$ $g\hat{\iota}$ $[b\hat{\iota}\hat{a}\text{-}g\hat{a}$ $x\hat{e}$ $b\hat{\iota}\hat{a}\text{-}d\hat{\sigma}]$, $k\underline{\iota}\text{-}f\underline{\iota}\textbf{-}y\hat{\epsilon}$ there REL $C_{1p}.SBJ.POT\text{-}move$ CON $C_{1p}.SBJ.POT\text{-}move.out$ C_{4s} -fire-DEF $k\hat{\iota}\hat{\iota}\text{-}s\hat{\sigma}$ $n\text{i}l\hat{\sigma}$ $C_{4s}.SBJ.PROG\text{-}burn$ there 'There where they can go and get out (of the building), the fire is burning there.' (FinSto_100524_SO)

Cosubordination?

- Foley & Van Valin (1984) propose the notion cosubordination for some constructions that seem to be in between subordination and coordination especially cause chaining and serial verb constructions.
- In clausal cosubordination, the linked units share illocutionary force, tense and negation (Van Valin, 2005).
- In Avatime, negation does not scope over both clauses.
- The scope of question markers can be over both clauses in Avatime, but can also be restricted to one (for similar examples in other languages, see also Bickel, 2010).
- In cosubordination, one of the verbs typically has limited inflection possibilities this is not the case for the Avatime $x\acute{e}$ -construction.
- Prelimilary conclusion: *xé*-construction is different from what is usually called co-subordination.

Second clause negated but first clause not:

(42) 5-niye èé-pe kù-da kṇ-ŋwè-bò-e xé C_{1s} -person C_{1s} .SBJ.PROG-want C_{5s} -drink C_{4s} -drink-money-DEF **CON** 5-lí- $m \circ = \varepsilon$ C_{1s} .SBJ.NEG-PROG-see = CM 'The person wants drinking money and he doesn't have it.' (conv-ablorme_100715_SO-AS)

Scope of question over first conjunct only - subordination-like reading:

(43) ba-dzidzi tiá-sɛ bíà-zị **xé** bíà-ya ŋu
C_{1p}-month C_{1p}-how.many C_{1p}.SBJ.POT-receive **CON** C_{1p}.SBJ.POT-flower ?

'How many months does it take (literally: do they receive) before they (banana trees) flower?'

(conv-amedzofe 110330 WE-friends 2)

Scope of question on second conjunct (only one example, needs to be checked):

(44) kíté mè-dzi t-shirt mà-tsa **xé** máà-zɔ-tsa o-dzedze how 1s.SBJ-buy t-shirt 1s.SBJ-pay **CON** 1s.SBJ.POT-REC-pay C_{1s}-other 'I bought a t-shirt and paid and how can I be paying for another one?' or more literally: 'How did I buy a t-shirt and pay and I will be paying for another one?' (conv-funeral_100528_8)

Scope of question over both conjuncts:

(45) $a ext{-}wlakpa$ $w ext{olim}i$ $w ext{da} ext{-}tan$ planu $x ext{e}$ $w ext{da} ext{-}do$ si blb $C_{3p} ext{-}leave$ which $2s.SBJ.POT ext{-}be.able$ remember con $2s.SBJ.POT ext{-}say$ comp 1p gi $b ext{fa} ext{-}h$ b sra ra rather or one of the composition <math>sin sin s

Sometimes both interpretations seem equally possible:

(46) $nif_{\mathcal{D}}$ $\hat{\partial}$ -za $\hat{\partial}$ - $ni\hat{\mu}\nu\hat{\partial}$ - ε e- $z\hat{e}$ $x\acute{e}$ a- $m\hat{\partial}$ ke-dze- \hat{a} $tsis\hat{i}$ where C_{2s} -direction C_{1s} -child-DEF C_{1s} -sit CON C_{1s} .SBJ-see C_{6s} -rat take.away 'Where was the child sitting when he saw the rat?' / 'Where was the child sitting and saw the rat?' (frog_100719_DQ-PhA)

4.2 Coordination with gi

- The relative clause marker gì can also be used to conjoin clauses.
- Some cases can be analysed as extraposed non-restrictive relative clauses.
- In other cases, gì looks more like a conjunction.
- Further investigation into the use of this construction as opposed to constructions with $x\acute{e}$ and $l\check{e}$ is necessary.

Extraposed relative clause:

(47) $b\acute{a}$ - $n\acute{t}$ 2 ba- $l\acute{t}$ ya $[g\grave{i}$ $b\varepsilon$ -plan \mathring{u} $l\varepsilon$ $ab\grave{a}$] C_{1p} -person:INDF C_{1p} .SBJ-be.at here REL C_{1p} -remember C_{3s} on

'Are there people here who remember it?' (chiefs-meeting 100619 03)

Extraposed non-restrictive relative clause:

(48) be-tá-kp ϵ ba-sa-vá ke-pimia m ϵ kị y ϵ [agì c_{1p} .SBJ-INT-put c_{5p} -cloth-DEF:LOC c_{6s} -basket-DEF inside give c_{1s} REL a-trá-zě-tu] c_{1s} -INT-REC-carry 'They would put the cloths in a basket for her, which she would carry / and she would carry it.'

(49) ba-n $\dot{\mu}$ v $\dot{\nu}$ tia-ta \acute{a} -to $b\grave{\epsilon}\acute{\epsilon}$ -za $[g\grave{\imath}$ $b\grave{\epsilon}\acute{\epsilon}$ -wa $\grave{\imath}$] C_{1p} -child C_{1p} -three C_{1p} -INDF C_{1p} .SBJ.PROG-pass **REL** C_{1p} .SBJ.PROG-play 'Three children were passing and playing' / 'Three children were passing who were playing.' / 'Three children were passing while playing'

(pear_100709_MiA-DQ)

'Resumptive' pronoun in 'relative clause' -> coordination?

No potential head noun for 'relative clause'

were clearing) and they left some.'

(51) $\acute{a}\grave{a}$ -gu $kp\varepsilon$ [gì lí-lá-bubɔ] C_{1s} .POT-talk put.in REL C_{3s} .SBJ.NEG-INT-be.easy 'She will be talking into it (the microphone), which will not be easy / and it will not be easy.' (conv-street_100720_1)

Clearly coordination (?)

5 Summary

- Avatime has distinct constructions for complement clauses, relative clauses and different types of adverbial clauses.
- There are several conjunctions that are used to coordinate clauses.
- Both in adverbial clauses and in coordinate clauses, a difference is made between clauses that describe events that have happened and clauses that describe events that are hypothetical or haven't happened yet.
- Clauses that start with $x\acute{e}$ and follow a main clause can be interpreted both as subordinate and as coordinate they may be instances of an in-between category like cosubordination.
- The relative clause marker gì can also be used to conjoin coordinate clauses, but more research into its function is needed.

References

Bickel, Balthasar. 2010. Capturing particulars and universals in clause linkage. a multivariate analysis. In Isabelle Bril (ed.), Clause linking and clause hierarchy: syntax and pragmatics (Studies in Language Companion Series 121), 51–101. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Cristofaro, Sonia. 2003. Subordination. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Foley, William A. & Robert D. Van Valin, Jr. 1984. Functional syntax and universal grammar (Cambridge Studies in Linguistics 38). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Heine, Bernd. 1968. Die Verbreitung und Gliederung der Togorestsprachen. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer.

Kropp Dakubu, Mary-Esther & Kevin C. Ford. 1988. The Central Togo languages. In Mary-Esther Kropp Dakubu (ed.), The languages of Ghana, 119–153. London: Kegan Paul International.

Van Valin, Robert D., Jr. 2005. Exploring the syntax-semantics interface. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Abbreviations

1	first person	LOG	logophoric
2	second person	NEG	negative
ADD	additive	OBJ	object
$C_{number + s/p}$	noun class	p	plural
CM	clause marker	POSS	possessive
COM	comitative	POT	potential
CON	connector	PROG	progressive
COMP	complementizer	PROH	prohibitive
CTR	contrastive	PROX	proximal demonstrative
DEF	definite	PURP	purposive
DIST	distal demonstrative	Q	question marker
FOC	focus	REC	recurrent
FP	final particle	REL	relative
HAB	habitual	S	singular
ID	ideophone	SBJ	subject
INDF	indefinite	SBJV	subjunctive
INF	infinitive	SVM	serial verb marker
INT	intentive	VEN	ventive
IT	itive		
LOC	locative		

Figure 1: Map of the Ghana-Togo Mountain languages, from Kropp Dakubu & Ford (1988), original source Heine (1968).