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1 Introduction

- Serer + Fula < Northern < Atlantic < Niger-Congo (Segerer 2016)
- Serer:
  - 1.16 million speakers in Senegal and North-Western Gambia (Lewis et al. 2016)
  - Sources: Grammar of Faye (1979) and Sarr (p.c.)
- Fula:
  - Spoken in 18 countries by around 22 million people (Gajdos 2004: 10f.)
  - Source: Grammar of Sylla (1982) (Pulaar, Senegalese variety)

Map 1. Serer and Fula in western Africa (map by Simon Argus, based on Lewis et al. 2016 and Harrison 2013)
Serer has 13 noun classes
Nouns are grouped together 1) by form (class prefix, agreement targets) and 2) by semantics (e.g. human, liquids and mass nouns, diminutive, etc.)

(1) a. Class 12: diminutives
    o-ambaal  onq-e
    12-sheep  12.DET-PROX
    ‘the lamb’

b. Class 6: liquids and mass nouns
    fo-neew  ol-e
    6-butter  6.DET-PROX
    ‘the butter’

One and the same nominal root might appear in different classes (e.g. for diminutive, plural, etc.)

Consonant mutation: the initial consonant of a stem changes according to language-specific rules
For instance, j alternates with c and nj (mutation grades)

(2) a. o-jisii  ‘sparrow’ [class 7, e.g. animals in the singular]
    b. xa-cisiis  ‘sparrows’ [class 12, plural]
    c. o-njisis  ‘little sparrow’ [class 8, diminutive] (Faye 1979: 36)

2  “Simplification”

2.1 In number of noun classes
Merrill (2014) reconstructs for Proto-Serer-Fula the class prefixes of 19 classes
He takes into account 1) class affixes and 2) consonant mutation
He also reconstructs the class prefixes and determiner stems for Proto-Serer by considering dialectal differences
16 classes in Proto-Serer
- 5 classes less than Proto-Serer-Fula
- 2 additional classes
Proto-Serer-Fula prefix is sometimes reconstructed from the determiner stem (*γol- <*ol-; class 10) and sometimes from the class prefix (*gin- <*giN-; class 14)
Class prefix might trigger fortition (X) or nasalisation (N) on the noun stem

1 A detailed, cross-dialectal analysis is provided by Renaudier (2015).
2 These include at least determiners, demonstrative pronouns, and adjectives.
2.2 In head noun marking

- 5 classes do not require any prefix (∅-)
- Except for fa- (class 5) and xa- (class 12), the prefixes mark noun stems of at least two different classes
  ⇒ Reduction and ambiguity in head noun marking!?  

- Not really: Prefixes might trigger fortition (X) or nasalisation (N) on the noun stem
  ⇒ Prefixes are ambiguous but class might be disambiguated by consonant mutation and by agreement targets
- E.g. the prefix o-:
  
  (3)  a. o-koor ox-e ‘the man’ [class 1, fortition]
  b. o-mbaal onq-e ‘the lamb’ [class 8, nasalisation]
  c. o-jisiis ol-e ‘the sparrow’ [class 7]  

(Faye 1979: 114, 117)
Table 1. Class prefixes in Serer (cf. Faye 1979) (X = affix triggers fortition on the noun stem; N = affix triggers nasalisation on the noun stem)

Quick recap

- Reduced system
  - Serer has less classes than Proto-Serer-Fula
  - Sometimes no class prefix at all on the head noun
  - Prefixes are often not unique for one class
- But: Prefixes load information about class on the noun stem by triggering fortition or nasalisation

Prefix – Noun stem

- Fula more complex than Serer: more noun classes (21 classes) and less ambiguous class affixes

2.3 In class pronouns

- There is maximally a singular/plural distinction
- Singular classes cannot be identified by the pronouns
- Ten and den are polyfunctional

Table 2. Subject, emphatic, object, and possessive pronouns in Serer (cf. Faye 1979; Sarr, p.c.)
• In contrast, Fula has distinct pronouns for each single class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Subject pronoun</th>
<th>Emphatic pronoun</th>
<th>Object pronoun</th>
<th>Possessive pronoun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>kanko</td>
<td>mo</td>
<td>makko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ɓe</td>
<td>kamɓe</td>
<td>ɓe</td>
<td>maɓɓe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>kannje</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>majje</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dɓi</td>
<td>kannji</td>
<td>dɓi</td>
<td>majji</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nde</td>
<td>kayre</td>
<td>nde</td>
<td>mayre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>dum</td>
<td>kannjum</td>
<td>dum</td>
<td>majjum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Subject, emphatic, object, and possessive pronouns in Fula (Sylla 1982)

3  “Innovation”

3.1 The subject pronoun “a”

• 1\textsuperscript{st} Function: As third person subject pronoun for all classes

(4) a. \textit{a} \textit{ɲaayik}  
\textit{PRO travel:DIST}  
‘(The king [class 1] had wives.) He travelled.’  
\textit{(Faye 1979: 283)}

b. \textit{a} \textit{ut=in}  
\textit{PRO dig_out=3S}  
‘(The eagle [class 2] came.) It dug her out.’  
\textit{(ibid.)}

• 2\textsuperscript{nd} Function: In co-occurrence with lexical subjects in canonical affirmative clauses

(5) \textit{Ferdi a} \textit{ɲaam-a maalo}  
\textit{5.PN PRO eat-PFV3 5.rice}  
‘Ferdi ate rice.’  
\textit{(Sarr, p.c.)}

• However, not every pre-verbal lexical subject triggers the presence of \textit{a}

(6) \textit{Ferdi} \textit{ɲaam-a maalo}  
\textit{5.PN eat-PFV3 5.rice}  
‘Ferdi ate rice.’  
\textit{(Sarr, p.c.)}

• Prosodic difference?

Hypothesis:
The information-structural status of the lexical subject determines the presence of \textit{a}! If the lexical subject is the sentence topic, \textit{a} is present. If not, not.
Definition:
The sentence topic is that entity in a sentence about which something is predicated.
(cf. Dik 1997; Reinhart 1982; Lambrecht 1994)

- In (5) the lexical subject is the sentence topic

(5') \textit{Ferdi} \textit{a} \textit{naam-a} \textit{maalo}  \\
5.PN PRO? eat-PFV3 5.rice  \\
‘{What about Ferdi?} Ferdi ate rice.’ 
(Sarr, p.c.)

- Very likely this structure developed out of a left-dislocation construction

(5’’) \textit{Ferdi, a} \textit{naam-a} \textit{maalo}  \\
5.PN PRO? eat-PFV3 5.rice  \\
‘{What about Ferdi?} Ferdi, he ate rice.’ 
(Sarr, p.c.)

- Whenever the lexical subject is not the sentence topic, a is absent

(6’) a. Thetic sentence (cf. Sasse 1987)  \\
\textit{Ferdi} \textit{∅} \textit{naam-a} \textit{maalo}  \\
5.PN eat-PFV3 5.rice  \\
‘{What happened?} Ferdi ate rice.’ 
(Sarr, p.c.)

b. Subject focus  \\
\textit{Jeen} \textit{∅} \textit{naam-u} \textit{saac} \textit{k-e}  \\
5.PN eat-PFV1 11.couscous 11.DET-PROX  \\
‘{Who ate the couscous?} JEEN ate the couscous.’  
(Faye 1979: 59; context by Sarr, p.c.)

⇒ The relationship between subject topics and the pre-verbal a has not been described explicitly yet

3.2 \textbf{The subject pronouns “ta” (sg.) and “da” (pl.)}

- A, ta and da are commonly associated with specific verb conjugation paradigms
- Faye (1979) and Renaudier (2012): imperfective ending -aa occurs with the subject pronouns ta and da, not with a
- However, Faye’s corpus contains examples which contradict this statement
(7) (The eagle came. It dug the child out, went to the tree at the public place and built a nest there. It kept the child over there and gave her food.)

\[ ta \ n\text{aam-aa} \ a \ n\text{aam-aa} \ a \ n\text{aam-aa} \ bo \ a \ n\text{aam-aa} \ maak \]

S:PRO eat-IPFV PRO eat-IPFV PRO eat-IPFV until PRO be_big

\[ ta \ \text{waa}-a \ a \ n\text{aam-aa} \ bo \ a \ maak \]

S:PRO look_for-IPFV 7.gold

‘She ate. She ate. She ate until she was big. It looked for gold.’ (Faye 1979: 283)

Hypothesis:
Switch-reference\(^3\) determines the form of the subject pronoun! When there is referent switch, the pronouns \(ta/da\) are used. When there is no referent switch, the subject pronoun is \(a\).

Definition:
Switch-reference is an inflectional category of the verb, which indicates whether or not its subject is identical with the subject of some other verb. Its function is to avoid ambiguity of reference. (Haiman & Munro 1983: ix, xi)

- The evaluation of one of Faye’s (1979: 283-286) fairy tales shows that in the majority of cases, the data confirm this observation
  - \(A\) marks no referent switch in 47 out of 49 examples
  - \(Ta\) and \(da\) signal referent switch in 25 out of 27 examples
- Pronouns \(ta\) and \(da\) do not mark switch reference in relative clauses

(8) (They were at sea until they reached Kulaar.)

\[ ye \ n\text{aam-aa} \ n\text{aam-aa} \ Kulaar (…)
\]

\(when\) P:PRO go-REL GN

‘When they arrived at Kulaar, (they laid down dreaming of three drums which were swinging on a baobab tree.).’ (Faye 1979: 291)

---

\(^3\) The term “switch-reference” in relation with the pronouns \(ta\) and \(da\) is only mentioned by Neely (2013). Unfortunately, she does not go into detail at all and also misses the fact that switch-reference is independent from conjugation and periphrastic constructions.
Table 4. Subject, emphatic, object, and possessive pronouns in Serer (cf. Faye 1979; Sarr, p.c.) (revised)

4 Summary

- Reduction in number of noun classes
- Reduction in head noun marking
  - But: prefixes might load information about class on the noun stem (hence the mutation grades)
    
    \[
    \text{class} \\
    \rightarrow \text{Prefix} \rightarrow \text{Noun stem}
    \]
- Reduction in the pronominal system: maximally singular/plural distinction
- Possibly innovation
  - Distinction between topical and non-topical lexical subjects
  - Switch-reference system: the pronoun \( a \) loads information about “reference tracking” on the pronouns \( ta \) and \( da \)
    
    \[
    \text{switch reference} \\
    a \rightarrow ta/da
    \]
- Fula is more complex and probably more conservative
5 Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DET</td>
<td>determiner stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>plural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROX</td>
<td>proximate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIST</td>
<td>distal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFV</td>
<td>perfective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REL</td>
<td>relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>geographical name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>proper name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPFV</td>
<td>imperfective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO</td>
<td>pronoun</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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